THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI

VOLUME XXXIX

EDITED WITH NOTES BY

E. LOBEL M.A.

Graeco-Roman Memoirs, No. 55

PUBLISHED FOR THE BRITISH ACADEMY BY THE EGYPT EXPLORATION SOCIETY 3 doughty mews, london, wgin 2pg 1972

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS, OXFORD, BY VIVIAN RIDLER PRINTER TO THE UNIVERSITY

AND PUBLISHED FOR

THE BRITISH ACADEMY BY THE EGYPT EXPLORATION SOCIETY 3 DOUGHTY MEWS, LONDON, WCIN 2PG

ALSO SOLD BY

BERNARD QUARITCH, 5-8 Lower John Street, Golden Square, wiv 6ab

© EGYPT EXPLORATION SOCIETY 1972

BBC0384



PREFACE

THIS volume is the fifteenth in this series to have appeared with Mr. E. Lobel's name on the title-page; it is the ninth in which that name has appeared alone. This achievement is one which scholars everywhere will wish to salute, and to which a younger generation of papyrologists will be eager to pay a tribute of thanks.

The contents include lyric verses, dramatic verses, hexameters and elegiacs, and commentaries on verses, and are rounded off by two specimens of the same dialogue by Aeschines the Socratic, and the beginning of Philaenis' book about the art of making love.

The editors are grateful to the Jowett Copyright Trustees for undertaking financial responsibility for the publication, and to Dr. M. W. Haslam for making the index. Special care has been lavished on the illustrations by the Cotswold Press and the photographic experts of the Oxford University Press.

February 1972

P. J. PARSONS J. R. REA E. G. TURNER General Editors of the Graeco-Roman Memoirs

B749203

DEDICATION

E. LOBEL

horum librorum quindecim editorem grato animo salutant

'Ηρακλέης ώρχαιος ἐν ἀνδράςι διώδεκα μόχθους ἐκτελέςας ἕδρην ἔςχεν ἐν ἀθανάτοις, οὐχ ἥςcoυς δ' ἄρα κεῖνος ἐπάξιός ἐςτιν ἀμοιβῆς ὅςτε θεοῦ μόχθοις πλείοςιν ἀντιταγείς ἐξ Ἀίδου λεπτοῖς πίςυνος ςκυβάλοιςι παπύρου μυρία ποιητῶν φροῦδα κατήγαγ' ἔπη.

A. S. F. G.

F. R. Adrados Donald Allan D. F. Allen Leiv Amundsen A. Andrewes A. Hilary Armstrong W. G. Arnott Graziano Arrighetti Bernard Ashmole Colin Austin H. W. Bailey Adelmo Barigazzi R. D. Barnett I. W. B. Barns W. S. Barrett John Barron Jean Bingen Bernhard Bischoff A. Blanchard John Boardman Godfrey Bond Alan K. Bowman C. O. Brink T. Robert S. Broughton Julian Brown Virginia Brown Gerald M. Browne Winfried Bühler William M. Calder III Maria Calderini Mondini Rita Calderini Alan D. E. Cameron Averil M. Cameron Richard Carden Antonio Carlini Quintino Cataudella Guglielmo Cavallo Ignazio Cazzaniga Henry Chadwick John Chadwick Pierre Chantraine Harold Cherniss W. E. H. Cockle **Revel** Coles Dorothy I. Crawford Michael H. Crawford Llovd W. Dalv Sergio Daris G. Daux Anna Morpurgo Davies R. D. Dawe Christina Dedoussi Dario Del Corno J. de Romilly Walter de Sousa Medeiros Vincenzo Di Benedetto E. R. Dodds Sergio Donadoni K. J. Dover Sterling Dow G. R. Driver Nan V. Dunbar H. J. Easterling P. E. Easterling Victor Ehrenberg Benedict Einarson Hartmut Erbse Father A. J. Festugière W. G. Forrest Hermann Fränkel Peter Fraser M. F. Galiano Carlo Gallavotti Antonio Garzya Thomas Gelzer B. Gentili

Giuseppe Giangrande Marcello Gigante Ernst H. J. Gombrich G. P. Goold A. S. F. Gow G. T. Griffith A. H. Griffiths O. Guéraud W. K. C. Guthrie Dieter Hagedorn Ursula Hagedorn R. W. Hamilton N. G. L. Hammond Eric W. Handley Ann Ellis Hanson Colin Hardie A. E. Harvey F. D. Harvey Michael W. Haslam A. Henrichs M. Hombert H. Hunger R. W. Hunt G. L. Huxley Leonard V. Ingrams I. Irigoin Lilian H. Jeffery John Th. Kakridis S. G. Kapsomenos R. Kassel John J. Keaney E. J. Kenney Rudolf Keydell P. Kingston G. S. Kirk William C. Kneale Bernard M. W. Knox L. Koenen K. F. Kumaniecki François Lasserre Albin Lesky David M. Lewis Naphtali Lewis Hugh Lloyd-Iones Georg Luck Walther Ludwig Wolfgang Luppe D. M. MacDowell Herwig Maehler Max Mallowan Manfredo Manfredi Russell Meiggs Benjamin D. Meritt R. Merkelbach P. Mertens Hans Joachim Mette Arnaldo Momigliano Orsolina Montevecchi Wolfgang Müller Roger Mynors Mark Naoumides H. I. Newiger R. G. M. Nisbet Robert Ogilvie James H. Oliver Martin Ostwald Denys Page Roger A. Pack H. W. Parke P. J Parsons T. S. Pattie Lionel Pearson W. Peek R. Pfeiffer F. Carter Philips, Jr. Claire Préaux S. Radt A. E. Raubitschek J. R. Rea B. R. Rees Joyce Revnolds Louis Robert Colin Roberts Martin Robertson E. S. G. Robinson R. Roca-Puig Luigi Enrico Rossi D. A. F. M. Russell Carlo Ferdinando Russo Alan G. Samuel F. H. Sandbach **Tean Scherer** J. Schwartz Henri Seyrig John C. Shelton E. Siegmann P. J. Sijpesteijn T. C. Skeat O. Skutsch Bruno Snell W. B. Stanford S. G. Stephens Hermann Strasburger Oswald Szemerényi Oliver Taplin Willy Theiler J. David Thomas Dorothy Burr Thompson Homer A. Thompson Marcus N. Tod Antonio Tovar A. D. Trendall M. Treu Eric G. Turner Alexander Turyn Fritz Uebel John Vaio D. van Berchem B. A. van Groningen F. W. Walbank **Richard Walzer** John Ward Perkins T. B. L. Webster Marcia E. Weinstein Kurt Weitzmann M. L. West Stephanie West Mary White L. P. Wilkinson Wm. H. Willis Nigel Wilson R. P. Winnington-Ingram Leonard Woodbury Douglas Young Herbert C. Youtie Louise C. Youtie Konrat Ziegler Henrik Zilliacus G. Zuntz

CONTENTS

PREFACE	v
DEDICATION	vi
TABLE OF PAPYRI	x
LIST OF PLATES	xi
NUMBERS AND PLATES	xi
Note on the Method of Publication	xii
TEXTS. New Classical Fragments	r
INDEXES	55

TABLE OF PAPYRI

2878.	Lyric verses in the Aeolic dialect?	Late 1st or early 2nd cent. ¹	I	
2879.	Lyric verse	Late 1st or early 2nd cent.	9	
2880.	Lyric verses	1st cent.	13	
2881.	Play	Mid 2nd cent.	13	
2882.	Ionic verse	Later 2nd cent.	16	
2883.	Rhianus, Μες εηνιακά?	Not later than mid 3rd cent.	17	
2884.	Elegiacs	2nd cent.	21	
2885.	Elegiacs: Epode	2nd cent.	24	
2886.	Commentary on a poem	2nd cent.	36	
2887.	Commentary on a hymn?	Late 1st or early 2nd cent.	39	
2888.	Commentary on the Odyssey	2nd half 2nd cent.?	43	
2889.	Aeschines Socraticus, Miltiades	Early 3rd cent.	47	
2890.	Aeschines Socraticus, Miltiades	2nd/3rd cent.	49	
2891.	Philaenis, π. ἀφροδιείων	Early 2nd cent.	51	

I All dates are A.D.

des transfer

٩

LIST OF PLATES

I. 2878; 2880; 2881; 2882; 2891 fr. 3	IV.	2885; 2890 Front
II. 2879; 2884; 2886; 2890 Back	v.	2883 fr. 2; 2887; 2889
III. 2883 fr. 1; 2891 fr. 1	VI.	2888

NUMBERS AND PLATES

2878 I	2886 II
2879 II	2887 V
2880 I	2888 VI
2881 I	2889 V
2882 I	2890 Back II
2883 fr. 1 III	Front IV
fr. 2 V	2891 fr. 1 III
2884 II	fr. 3 I
2885 IV	

NOTE ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND ABBREVIATIONS

THE method of publication follows that adopted in Part XXXVII. As there, the dots indicating letters unread and, within square brackets, the estimated number of lost letters are printed slightly below the line. Corrections and annotations which appear to be in a different hand from that of the original scribe are printed in thick type. Square brackets [] indicate a lacuna, round brackets () the resolution of a symbol or abbreviation, angular brackets $\langle \rangle$ a mistaken omission in the original, braces {} a superfluous letter or letters, double square brackets []] a deletion, the signs `' an insertion above the line. Dots within brackets represent the estimated number of letters lost or deleted, dots outside brackets mutilated or otherwise illegible letters. Dots under letters indicate that the reading is doubtful. Letters not read or marked as doubtful in the literal transcript may be read or appear without the dot marking doubt in the reconstruction if the context justifies this. Lastly, heavy Arabic numerals refer to Oxyrhynchus papyri printed in this and preceding volumes, ordinary numerals to lines, small Roman numerals to columns.

NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS

2878. LYRIC VERSES IN THE AEOLIC DIALECT?

The wretched scraps found under this number were assembled in the belief that they represented lyric verses in the Aeolic dialect and might contribute something to the text of Sappho or Alcaeus. In the event I have not succeeded in recovering from them a single verse or enough of one to recognize a single metre, or more than half a dozen words, of which only one, $\kappa \hat{\eta} voi$ fr. 2, 9, is specifically, and that one not uniquely, Aeolic. Fr. 4, 5 and fr. 6, 11 contain sequences that recur in verses of Alcaeus (and might have been seized on as clues to identification, if they had occurred as single lines without context); fr. 12 exhibits a peculiar relationship to the manuscript D of Alcaeus (fr. 23, 6 seqq. PLF 81). There is nothing, that I see, unequivocally Aeolic except $\kappa \hat{\eta} voi$ (as one may say, since Doric is plainly ruled out), and I do not know how $\pi uaov$ (fr. 5, 2) or $\kappa au \rho evv$ (fr. 1, 1, if that was written) is to be reconciled with the hypothesis of an Aeolic text.

The text is written in a medium-sized upright round book-hand to be ascribed, I suppose, to the end of the first or early part of the second century. Hooks or serifs are occasionally but quite irregularly used by way of embellishment. There are no lectionsigns.



5

Fr.1 Top of column? I Between ι and ϵ a slightly convex headless upright, ρ ? 3. [, the upper part of a stroke descending to right; δ perhaps likeliest 4. [, the upper part of an upright; μ not ruled out 5.], the foot of an upright with a stroke rising to left from its base 6.], the right-hand end of a nearly horizontal stroke level with the top of the letters; π a possibility 7.],

в



Fr. 2 1].[, the start of a stroke rising to right immediately followed by the lower left-hand arc of a circle; perhaps two letters represented Of ϕ only the extreme lower end of the upright 2]., the middle part of the right-hand side of a circle $|\kappa \notin \lambda \mu|$, of κ only the foot of the upright and lower end of the lower arm; of φ no cross-stroke, but λ is not α ; of μ only the left-hand apex 3 There may be the extreme right-hand end of a cross-stroke touching the top of $]\rho$ 4]., the lower right-hand of a cross-stroke touching the top of $]\rho$ 4]., the lower right-hand arc of a circle, e.g. o or ω , followed by the lower part of an upright descending below the line 5 Of $]\rho$ only the right-hand part, but I think not ω 6 After ρ only specks, possibly representing a convex upright After κ the upper part of an upright 8.[, the foot of an upright hooked to right 9.[, γ rather than the left-hand part of π 10 Before γ the tip of the right-hand stroke of δ acceptable, i.e. $\alpha v \delta \rho ec$ 11], the top of a slightly concave stroke, followed by the top of an upright; perhaps two letters represented For φ perhaps θ .[, the upper end of a stroke descending to right



Fr. 4 I]., the foot of an upright serifed to left [, a dot on the line 2 [, the lower lefthand side of a circle 3 [, a speck level with the top of the letters 4]., the same [, about mid letter a short convex stroke 5], the tip of a stroke rising from left; χ acceptable 6 The upper part of a stroke descending to right, followed by a small 'arrowhead' level with the top of the letters, and this by the tip of an upright

Fr. 4 5 This collocation of letters: Alc. 58 21 δνάρταις χέρρ' $d\pi \dot{\nu} \mu' \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$.



Fr. 5 2 Simonides, Pindar, and Bacchylides, alongside of $\pi a\iota \acute{a}\nu$ (and derivatives), have only $\pi a\iota \acute{n}\omega\nu$. In Alcaeus $\pi a\iota a$ - would be expected to appear as $\pi \check{a}a$ -.

Fr. 5 1], an upright with foot turning to right; ν or perhaps μ

stroke



Fr. 6 1], the lower part of a stroke curving down from left ; μ acceptable 3], a low upright with foot hooked to right 4 After o the left-hand end of a cross-stroke, as of τ]...[, the cusps and apices would apparently suit some combinations of μ and ω 5 The top of β or ρ , followed at an interval by a faint speck at the same level 7]..[, a dot level with the top of the letters, followed by the top of an upright ...[, an upright; τ suggested by the spacing, but no cross-bar visible 8]..[, a trace on or below the line, followed by what suggests the loop and turn-up of a, but anomalous 10 After ι prima facie δ or λ ...[, a small hook level with the top of the letters, e.g. ν

Fr. 6 II Cf. Alc. **38** 8 δυννάεντ' Άχέροντ' ἐπέραιce· μ [and 2 (corrupt) ὅταμε[...]δυννάεντ' Άχέροντα μεγ[. But]ραιceμεγa[is susceptible of many articulations.



Fr. 7 2 [, y suggested by fr. 4, 4, but π perhaps equally acceptable 3 Between φ and the next letter a narrow letter might be lost. After φ what looks like the overhang and turn-up of c, but not c of this hand; the turn-up is below the line like a 'hyphen' ...[, $\mu a \lambda \epsilon$ [seems acceptable, but there are alternative possibilities of combining the apices 4].[, a short concave stroke about mid letter 5.[, the edge of an upright

Fr. 8 Vacant



Fr. 9 Prima facie top of column 3 .[, an upright, turned over to left at the top and serifed to left at the foot 4]., perhaps the right-hand end of the cross-stroke of γ ; τ not so probable 5]., the top of an upright turned over to left, followed by the upper part of a triangular letter .[, the bottom and top of the left-hand side of a triangular letter

5

Fr. 9 2 Not Alc. 283 12 κ]αειγνήτων.

Blank Fr. 10 Prima facie bottom of column. In the left-hand margin there is a number of disjointed strokes, which I do not think can be any part of a marginal note on the lost column to left, but

some of which might represent a stichometrical letter (say, κ) referring to the column to right

Fr. 10

2878. LYRIC VERSES IN THE AEOLIC DIALECT?



Fr. 11 There is a 'joint' near the left-hand edge 2], the foot of an upright? 5 Of $]_{\prime}$ only the upper end of the diagonal and the tip of the right-hand upright 6].[, the upper end of a stroke descending to right 8 ,[, an apex

Fr. 11 8 evaluation if a dactyl, hitherto only in the third person singular and only in epic. I do not know whether it might be a way of spelling e_{α_2} , analogous to ava. in $avd\delta\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon$ Alc. 259 (a) ii 11, $avd\delta\eta\nu$ Sapph. 22, 5, $avd\epsilon-\tau a\nu$ Alc. 70, 12, $-\tau ac\epsilon$ 10 B 7. It is obviously not on all fours with these. Another interpretation, of which I cannot estimate the probability, might be $e_ia_0\delta^2 \phi_i$.



Fr. 12 I Of c only the lower part; ϵ possible 2 Of $]_7$ only the right-hand part of the crossstroke 3], ϵ or θ , [, triangular letters; $\lambda\delta$ [looks to me likeliest; not $a\delta$]

Fr. 12 The syllables $\epsilon\phi$, β_0 , $a\mu$ recur in exactly, so far as I can judge, the same vertical relation in Alc. **81**, 6, 7, 8, corresponding to 1, 2, 4 here. Unless this is no more than a freakish coincidence, I suppose it is likelier that a verse has been omitted in 81 than inserted here.



Fr. 13 I. [, the start of a stroke rising to right 2 A trace level with the top of the letters, followed by a strongly rightward-hooked stroke; perhaps ω 3 The top of the loop of β or p^2





Frr. 14, 15 probably from the same column

Fr. 14 I On the line, a cusp having the right-hand element curled up, followed by the foot of a stroke hooked to right and, at an interval, the base of a circle 2], two specks, one above the other, on the line [, the left-hand side of a circle 4], the upper right-hand arc of a circle Of q[only the extreme left-hand end of the loop stroke

Fr. 15 I. [, the clubbed foot of an upright, followed by the bottom left-hand arc of a circle; perhaps separate letters 5. [, two specks level with the top of the letters], the right-hand end of a cross-stroke opposite the middle of the back of ϵ 6], a cross-stroke as of γ].[, I think, μ , but ω not ruled out



Fr. 20 I], perhaps the right-hand end of the cross-stroke and a trace of the base of ϵ .[, a dot on the line 3 After ν (above which the end of a stroke from left) faint traces of a triangular letter? .[, the left-hand side of a circle

Fr. 21 I The base of a circle, or two letters represented



Fr. 22 Beginnings of lines? There is a 'joint' Fr. 23 3 [, an upright, with the left-hand arc towards the left-hand side I Of less only the of a small circle to right of its foot; κ acceptable lower parts [, the left-hand arc of a circle 3]., a speck on the line

> Fr. 24 1. | υπαδα 1[

0 JOV.].[. Fr. 25 1], the foot of an upright turning (or hooked) to right [, a trace on the line

the left-hand stroke of μ , or λ

 γ ; not, I think, τ

Fr. 25

Fr. 24 I A flat stroke on the line

Fr. 26

.

.

. .

. a τo

Fr. 26], a cross-stroke as of γ but hooked back at its right-hand end [, on the line a loop open to right



2 .[,

 3π or perhaps



• Fr. 27 2 For π [γ .[may be possible

2879. LYRIC VERSE

2879. LYRIC VERSE

Although not much is missing in the upper part of col. i of this remnant, I can follow no continuous thread of meaning, nor can I make a guess at the likely date of the writer. The metre appears, more plainly in col. ii, to be for the most part mixed dactyls and anapaests, but I see no other reason for thinking of Stesichorus (though he is well represented among Oxyrhynchus finds), and my own impression is that this is a rather late composition.

The writing, which displays a good deal of irregularity in the formation of letters, is a book-hand of a type attributed to the late first or early second century. 226 and P. Berol. 9908 (Schubart, P. gr. Ber. 30b) are better-executed examples. There is a sign like a large rough breathing in the margin of col. ii between ll. 10 and 11. There is an accent at col. ii 3, and three or four marks of quantity, one, I think, like the two variants, by another hand.

10

Co	l. i	Col. ii
].εκυανεας[].υομματον	c ĩγā[
].ιλμανυκτ[]	$\mu\epsilon\mu\epsilon[$
]οδυςμογεωναιηνεςυπερβιος	τανύ.[
]έιονιδας []ατωιτε καιοξυταταις	γ[
5].θαρυγεςς[].[]μελε[]	$a\pi ho heta$. [
]ατοςαλιβ.το[]	ποτεγ.[
]ονομβροναπονοτεει	πε[
]ναπαιπαλοεςςαν	ειδετ.[
	ολιοπλανεςεκχεεταιπελαγος	ποτ <u>ε</u> τ[
10]βυθονο αλειβο[]νον	θαμιζε[
].vci.[] $\tau\eta$.[.] π [.] $\rho\omega$	οδε[
][]]ουλιβαδωναπαεξεαι	ενγυ.[
] $\mu\pi\lambda$. [] . []	µa [
].ονεχ.[].οβατης	[.][].[
15]	Destroyed
]ατρεκεω[]	[.]¥[
]aıyā	το.[.]ψ[
]βατοςπολις][].o[
]δαλλα[
20]ða[

3]o, unexplained ink at its Col. i 1]., the end of a cross-stroke touching ϵ below the top 4 .[, a sinuous upright apparently forked at the top and descending below the line with foot base 5].[, two traces level with the curling to left, followed by a blunt angle open to right on the line top of the letters; if separate letters, no whole letter need be missing before μ After $\lambda \epsilon$ the surface is disturbed and partly destroyed: a headless upright with a slight hook to right at the foot and having opposite its upper part a sinuous stroke, the whole resembling some es; the upper part of a triangular letter; the extreme upper end of a stroke descending to right 6 After o, of which only the base, a speck on the line, followed by a short flat stroke on the line 8 The last v has a short cross-stroke from the top of its second upright 10 The second o followed by the top of a slightly concave upright; not, I think, to be combined with ρ in ω Before a what looks like the loop of ρ closely followed by the top of an upright 11]., apparently o followed by the right-hand half of another o; if ω , anomalous [, a faint upright with its top hooked over to left, followed by a dot level with the top of the letters]..., a or λ , followed by the left-hand parts of μ ?, and this by an upright descending below the line $[, \text{ the lower part of an upright } After <math>\varphi$ traces on the line 12], [, the upper part of a stroke rising from left, followed by faint traces suggesting the top of a stroke descending 13 After λ the lower part of an upright, thereafter only scattered traces [14], steeply to right the right-hand end of a cross-stroke touching the top of o [, the left-hand side of a circle; among other possibilities cf. ρ in l. 5], the right-hand end of a cross-stroke, touching ρ below the top, with a hook to right below it on the line 16 Above]a, of which only the right-hand stroke, the lower end of a stroke descending from left

```
Col. i
         ] ε κυανέας [πο]λυόμματον
     ποί]κιλμα νυκτ[ός]
          ]ο δυςμογέων αίηνες ύπέρβιος
     Ύπερ][[ε]]ιονίδας [ ]άτωι τε και δέυτάταις
     c\pi i \nu \theta a \rho \dot{\nu} \gamma \epsilon c c [i] [] \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ... []
          ατος άλιβατο
          ον δμβρον άπο νοτέει
          ]να παιπαλόες ταν
         ολιοπλανές έκχέεται πέλαγος
         βυθόν ο αλειβό μενον
          ] \upsilon c_{i} [.] \tau \eta [.] \pi[.] \rho \omega.
                       ]ου λιβάδων απ' ἀέξεαι
           1.[
                      \mu \pi \lambda
                       ] ονεχ.[] οβάτης
                       ατρεκέω [c]
                       aiya
                       βατος πόλις
```

5

10

15

Col. ii I The first 'long' by a different pen from the second (which I think is the same as that of the text) and perhaps the same as the τ s in ll. 6 and 9 3, [, the lower part of an upright? 4 After γ faint specks, then a triangular letter, followed by the base of a small circle and this at an interval by the lower left-hand arc of a larger circle 5. [, the left-hand side of a or λ 6 The superscribed τ here and in 1.9 in a different hand from the text [, on the line the base of a small circle perhaps to be combined with γ in π , followed by the upper part of a stroke descending to right 7 After ϵ a slightly concave stroke starting about mid letter, followed by the lower parts of χ_1 , or perhaps λ_2 , and faint scattered 8 [, perhaps the left-hand end of the loop of a 14], a or λ [, the upper part of traces a stroke descending to right, followed by a short cross-stroke level with the top of the letters and this by the upper part of a stroke descending to right 16 seq. There appears to be ink in the left-hand 18]., the right-hand side of o or ω , followed by the left-hand end, margin 17 [, λ or ν? hocked upwards, of a cross-stroke level with the top of the letters, having a trace below on the line], the foot of an upright

Col. i The left-hand edge of the column is defined by the supplements of ll. 2, 4 seq., and 9, of which the last does not look consistent with the others.

1 seq. I have found no other example of κυάνεος applied to night, the nearest being κυανέωι δνόφωι Simon. 38, 12 (543 PLG), of the darkness inside a box.

πολυόμματον: literal, of Argus, Lucian. Dial. deor. 3, 1. The only example I can adduce of the stars as eyes is αcτεροομμάτου ὅρφνης, Orph. hy. 34, 13.

π. ποίκιλμα: cf. Eur. Hel. 1096 $i\nu$ ' οἰκεῖς (sc. Hera) ἀςτέρων ποικίλματα. 3 seq. δυςμογέων first here. But no other articulation offers itself. II

alηνές: adverbial, elsewhere -νώς (c.g. Aesch. Eum. 672, i.g. εἰς τὸν alaνη χρόνον ib. 572). Cf. Mimn. 12, Ι Ἡέλως... ἕλαχεν πόνον ήματα πάντα.

I cannot account for - η - here and in l. 14 contrasted with \bar{a} in -véac l. 1, -ovídac l. 4, $d\lambda\iota\beta a\tau$ - l. 6, ava l. 17.

Ymepiovldac: by itself for the sun. Cf. Pind. Ol. vii 39, hy. Hom. ii 74.

[$]a\tau\omega\iota$: it is difficult to envisage anything but $\kappa[a\mu]a'\tau\omega\iota$, but κ is very unattractive as a decipherment, being quite dissimilar to any other, inconsistently made though they are, and $\kappa \alpha \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega\iota$ is a very strange associate of $c\pi \nu d a \rho \dot{\nu} \gamma \epsilon c c$, a way of speaking to which I can offer no parallel in Greek. And I am not sure that there is room for $a\mu$ in the gap.

5 επινθαρύγεεει: Ap. Rhod. Argon. iv 1544 επινθαρύγεεει πυρός; not elsewhere.

6 seq. A natural guess would be -μ]ατος αλιβάτου (κύματος, . ηλιβάτου Plut. Sept. sap. conv. 163 c), but though -ro v would be acceptable, v represented by part of the serif to left at its foot, there is a dot between o and the presumed v that would have to be accounted for by an inordinate extension of the serif.

'Drips such and such a shower from the high (huge) (wave)' would have been a reasonable view of the construction; νοτεῖν ὅμβρου like ὕειν χρυcόν (Pind. Ol. vii 50), τέγγειν ἄχναν (Soph. Trach. 849), and, with ἀπό, Eur. Bacch. 620 ίδρῶτα cώματος cτάζων ἄπο.

απο νοτέει as, e.g., έπι νότος Od. xii 427.

9 *κκολισπλανέ*c is the only available attested word (only at Nicand. *Ther.* 318 of the snakes *κερά*cται). It looks as if *κκ* and half of *o* would not reach the left-hand margin defined by the supplements of ll. 2 and 4 seq., but a prefixed *έ*c might extend too far. *εκολισπλανήc* is suitable enough applied to a river, as *εκολιό* is (e.g. by Herodotus ii 29). But I do not know how it is to be understood as a *πέλαγοc*.

10 ϵc] $\beta v \theta \delta v$ seems to be required by the sense, but by itself it is insufficient for the space.

18 Among other possibilities úψίβατος as at Pind. Nem. x 47.

2880. Lyric Verses

I have failed to find more of this easily recognizable manuscript and to identify the author of the verses of which the beginnings are preserved. I suppose they are, more likely than not, choral lyric. There are some ambiguities of articulation, and more, if account of synaphea is to be taken, but I think it is safe to say that no part of them at present exists among the fragments of Simonides, Pindar, or Bacchylides.

The text is written in an informal hand which has a number of cursive characteristics including a free use of ligatures. The split τ is regular throughout. The rough breathing and accent at 1. 6, the only lection-signs, appear to be original. There appears to be no reason to suppose that the manuscript might not have been written within the first century.



End of a column and of a *pericopa* or poem 2 On a there is written what could be taken for small 70, though I doubt whether that was intended \int_{1}^{1} prima facie π , but perhaps γ followed by a convex 7 Before ν a dot: though the surface is incomplete I doubt whether γ could have been upright written , converging strokes with confused traces below, perhaps ν , but anomalous; followed by 8 seqq. In left-hand margin the upper part of the coronis 9 ..., the tops the apex of a or δ ? of two circles or loops, the first having a dot close to left, the second having a projection to right, followed by what looks like the top left-hand part of a badly joined o and the upper part of an anoma-10 After τ a dot on the line above and to right of which a short stroke descending lously concave i from left; above the first upright of π a slightly uptilted saucer-shaped stroke II Of μ only the right-hand apex

2881. PLAY

The contents of the following scraps may be taken till disproof to be the ends of iambic trimeters from a drama. The vocabulary appears, in fact, to be that of the Attic tragedians. I have found nothing by which to identify the play or the author. The upper piece obviously enough is a report of a vision or dream along, I suppose, with some general reflections arising out of it. I can follow no consecutive thread in the

lower piece, which I hoped might in ll. 6 and 7 throw light on problems in Aeschylus and Sophocles respectively.

The text is written in a small slightly sloping hand with some characteristics verging on cursive, for instance, the ligatured α_i regularly used, and there is a good deal of variety in the forms of certain letters. All elisions are indicated. There are a few 'acutes', I do not see why on the particular syllables chosen, and double provision, a 'grave' and a hyphen, to ensure recognition of odploctátyc. In the lower scrap high dots for punctuation appear. I suppose a date about the middle of the second century is not unlikely.

(a)] []καιτοτ'εξεγειρο [] α[] και τότ' έξεγείρομ[αι δρθίας φρίκης ὕπο]ορθιαςφρικηςυπο οψινειδονέννυχον []οψιν είδον έννυχον] αιςι [] μφυτοντόδε] αι εί είμφυτον τόδε] αιςικαρπιμιοιφιλει] αι ι κάρπιμοι φιλει 5 μο []εκτ'ονειρατων μος έκ τ' όνειράτων $]\mu a\pi$ μαπο]]αι] $\kappa \epsilon$ [] $c\theta \epsilon \omega v$] KE VCBE WV] a []'ap] a[]' áp[]..[10 *(b)*]...].]] [] [] [] [] [] is'e ed ιδ' έξεφο] ο ήνυτ [] ο ήνυτο ν $\pi \delta a \nu \int \rho v \chi [] \nu$ 5 $\pi' \delta a \nu [] \rho v \chi [] \nu$ μοςούριοςτατης μος ούριοςτάτης] $a\tau' \epsilon \ddot{v} \kappa \epsilon \rho \omega \{c\} \delta \dot{\epsilon} o v s$ ατ'ευκερωςδεους προςθιονγον . πρόςθιον γόνυ δνου μητρός τέκνα δνουμητροςτεκνα]. ουκέ μ. η] ουκέ μ. η 10 πανήγυρις πανήγυρις] µa[]ĸµa[

I do not think there is reason to doubt that (b) comes from the same column as (a), but I cannot determine at what interval

(a) I], the foot of an upright Of a [only the base of the loop μ [, not suggested by the remains, a forward-sloping stroke with a projection to right below its top 4]., the upper part and foot of a forward-sloping stroke, perhaps with ink about centrally on both sides, followed by what now suggests the top and base of o but may admit of ϵ or ϵ Of ϵ only the lower part of the back 5]., on the line what would be taken for the lower part of v but that above it there is the top of a loop. followed by the lower part of a slightly convex stroke with foot turning to right; not prima facie a single π , as in 1. 7 $\lambda \epsilon_i$ thicker and perhaps in a different hand 7 seq. Though in the same hand, smaller and 7 [, the lower part of a stroke rising to right] [, the top of a stroke rising from left thinner 8]., the upper part of a tall upright, ϕ or ψ ?, followed by dispersed traces in a much-damaged place [, the lower part of a stroke rising to right Between ϵ and φ a slightly forward-sloping stroke 9], a short stroke rising from left]., a speck on the line, followed by a v-shaped sign, perhaps a partly rubbed κ , having a suspended letter, perhaps λ , above 10]. [, the top of a narrow hook open downwards, followed by the top of an upright

(b) On the right-hand side of this scrap there is a narrow strip, projecting upwards beyond the first numbered line, which bore the ends of some or all of the lines before l. 5. I can decipher nothing there now

I seq. A scrap has flaked off carrying l. 1 and i of l. 2 2]., off the line part of a stroke rising to right [, a forward-sloping stroke with a cusped stroke projecting to right from its top Of] ω only the right-hand curve; o may be possible 3 Of ξ only the upper end of the right-hand horn For of a might be possible 4], prima facie γ , but near the edge, so that τ cannot be ruled out Between o and η a short thick upright, nearer o 5 Of o only the base well off the line], off the line the right-hand end of a stroke apparently curving down from left χ [not very attractive, but apparently too much ink for κ 7], the upper part of an upright with a dot above and to left of the only the break. After ϵ the upper left-hand curve of a circle, before μ the lower part of an upright, with its foot turned to right, above which and to left a dot above the top of the letters; v now acceptable, but there has been a good deal of damage Between μ and η only traces on a loose, faded and the strip

(a) 2 δρθίας φρίκης ὅπο: very likely δρθίας qualifies φρίκης and the phrase is to be compared with δρθόκερως φρίκη, quoted from Sophocles (fr. 875 P), as interpreted δρθόθριξ; cf. δρθόθριξ όδβος Aesch. Cho. 32 (Φοΐβος δρθόθριξ cod., em. Turnebus, Heath). But the adjective ὅρθιος is more frequently found qualifying the hair as affected by fright (Aesch. Sept. 564 τριχθς δ' δρθίας πλόκαμος ζετατα, Soph. O.C. 1624 seq., ὥατε πάντας δρθίας cτῆςau φόβωι δείςαντας ... τρίχας, Eur. Hel. 632 seq. κρατὶ δ' δρθίους ἐβείρας ἀετατάς, cf. II. xxiv 358 seq. δείδιε δ' αἰνῶς ὀρθαί δ' τρίχες ἕςταν), and ὀρθίας might well be to be detached from φρίκης and attached to a word meaning 'hair' in the lost part.

3 ὄψιν είδον ἕννυχον: at Aesch. P.V. 645 seq. ὄψεις ἕννυχοι are spectres, but more often ὄψις refers to a dream, νυκτὸς ὄψις... ἐνυπνίων Pers. 518, ἐνυπνίων φανταςμάτων ὄψες Sept. 710 seq., and Euripides οἴαν ἰδόμαν ὄψιν ονείρων νυκτὸς τῆς ἐξῆλθ' ὄρφνα Ι.Τ. 150 seq., ἔμαθον ἕνυπνον ὀμμάτων ἐμῶν ὄψιν Hec. 703 seq.

4 c[/]μφυτον: I do not think -ν [έ]μφυτον is a possible decipherment, though I should prefer it as a reading in view of its relative frequency in such phrases; e.g. Eur. Belleroph. (fr. 297) έμφυτος ... παιν άνθρώποις κάκη, Phaeth. (fr. 776) τοῖς πλουτοῦςι τοῦτο δ' ἐμφυτον (ἐμφυτον τόδε Meineke), fr. 1027 τοῖς τρόποιςιν ἑμφυτον. But εύμφυτος αὐτοῖς ή δειλία Lys. x 28, and similarly Aeschines refers to τὰ εύμφυτα αὐτῶι νοςήματα, δειλία καὶ ζηλοτυπία iii 81.

Some class of people are congenitally afraid of ghosts?

5 As κάρπιμος with three endings is not attested and it is difficult to think of a construction for φιλει, it may be worth while to consider the possibility that κάρπιμοι φίλαι was meant; some class of people favour fruitful —. As I have indicated, I do not think παιει can be made of the ink.

6 ἕκ τ' ἀνειράτων: cf. Aesch. Cho. 523 ἕκ τ' ἀνειράτων καὶ . . . δειμάτων πεπαλμένη, 929 μάντις οὐξ ἀνειράτων φόβος, Eum. 155 ὄνειδος ἐξ ἀνειράτων μολόν. This phrase not in Sophocles or Euripides.

(b) 6 o $\dot{v}\rho occrár\eta c$: the only other place where this word occurs is in a much-emended chorus of the

16

Choephori (1. 822), where there seems to be a fairly general agreement that it is used adjectivally and means 'steady and prosperous' (LSJ) or the like. I doubt whether that rendering is likely, but as the *Choephori* is no business of mine and throws no light on and receives none from the second occurrence of the word, I have no more to say.

or the word, I have no more to say. $\gamma \in \delta \kappa e \rho \omega$ $\delta \delta o \omega c$: of the letter after ω there remains only a small loop or circle about level with the top of the letters, compatible with c, though that would not have been my first guess. There is no dot to denote cancellation above it, a stroke through it would have been lost. Whatever was written, it is hardly to be doubted that the genitive $e^{\delta \kappa e \rho \omega}$ ($\delta o \delta \kappa e \rho \omega$ Acsch. P.V. 588, $\delta \psi f \kappa e \rho \omega \dots \pi a \delta \rho \omega$ Soph. Trach. 507) $\delta \delta o \omega c$ represents the truth. But how this is to be interpreted I do not know. Its strange resemblance to the fragment of Sophocles referred to above ((a) 1. 2) is no help, since the effective element in $\delta \rho \theta \delta \kappa e \rho \omega c$ is $\delta \rho \theta \circ .$ $e \delta \theta \rho \iota \xi$ $\delta \delta \circ c$ has no obvious application.

We excite it in opportunities opport events the three tragedians (though a genitive $\delta \epsilon_{aroc}$ is quoted from Sophocles $K\eta \delta a\lambda \langle av$, fr. 328 P), nor is the dative, though an example of either has been introduced by conjecture into Acschylus.

8 πρόεθων γόνυ: cf. Eur. Rhes. 210 βάειν... χερεί προεθίαν καθαρμόεας, of the front feet of a wolfskin. The presence of some animal or quadruped seems to be implied, so that a literal 'horn' might not be out of place, but 'fear' is left unexplained.

2882. IONIC VERSE

I have not succeeded in identifying the following ends of verses, which I suppose to represent iambic trimeters or trochaic tetrameters, though these are not the only possibilities, and characterize as Ionic solely on the strength of dissyllabic $i\delta\epsilon\epsilon\nu$.

The writing is a slightly sloping, informal-hand, to be dated, I suppose, in the later second century. As far as I can tell the accents and stops are by the same hand as the text.

	• •
].[
]ΐζομε[
], ϊδεειν[
]_νπολις[
5]_ιςτονφε[
]ηπαλαι [
] ₇ aτη· [
]άει· [
]etai. [
10] αει. [
] λεγω· [
] νχθον [
] . ac [
] ωνιδαι [
15]οητόδε[
0	$] \omega \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon$
	2

2882. IONIC VERSE

3], perhaps the overhand of c4]., an upright; t one possibility 5], a dot near the 6 [, perhaps part of the left-hand end of the cross-stroke and the foot of the stalk of τ ; in line the interlinear space above it the left-hand end of a cross-stroke 10], a dot on the line, followed by the upper part of an upright; ν acceptable 11], perhaps the upper part of the right-hand stroke of w 12]., a dot on the line [, faint traces near the line 13, the letter before a appears most likely to be π , but it is preceded by what resembles a reversed comma touching its lefthand angle and this by a dot level with the top of the letters, which I cannot combine 14]. a speck level with the top of the letters 16], the top of an upright

3 MSS. of Ionic poets are not consistent in their rendering of the present infinitive of contract verbs, see note on 2322 fr. 1, 11. Examples of the second aorist infinitive are not so common, but the same inconsistency seems to be attested by $i\delta\epsilon\epsilon\nu$ here contrasted with $\pi\alpha\theta\epsiloni\nu$ 2313 fr. 8 (b) 5, P. Petr. i 4 (2) i 1.

14 A patronymic ending $-\omega\nu\iota\delta\eta$ at 2318 fr. 5, 6.], $\epsilon,\nu\iota\delta\eta$ (?] $\mu\epsilon\omega\nu\iota\delta\eta$) at 2310 fr. 3, 14 cannot represent a patronymic unless the *trema* is mistakenly written.

2883. RHIANUS, Meccoviará?

The evidence on the strength of which the two fragments under this number are assigned to the *Meccepuaká* of Rhianus is rather more persuasive than the general considerations in favour of identifying the same poem in the verses preserved in the complementary manuscripts 2522 A and B.

Fighting about a town between two parties of which one, 'the enemy', is identified as 'Spartans', and defeated at that, answers very well to what is found in Book iv of Pausanias' guide-book to Greece about the second Messenian war, an account based, he says, almost entirely on Rhianus (iv 6, 3 seqq.). What is preserved in **2883** and **2522** respectively is parts of speeches made, one in victory (a second is implied, fr. 2, 1), the other in defeat, by a person who stands in either case in the same relation to a similar audience. I am not sure whether it is fanciful to recognize a wordier and more inconsequent style of speaking in **2883** than in **2522**.

The language has a vaguely Homeric tincture without being in detail particularly imitative.

The writing, though firm and practised, is not a regular book-hand, but has some cursive features. A *terminus ante quem* might be provided by the two marginal entries in fr. 1, which are in a book-hand, if they were better preserved. I suppose it is not likely to be later than the middle of the third century.

There are three or four accents, all prima facie written by the original hand, as are the two or three apostrophes. There are also a number of stops.

С

Fr. 1

] ηςπροτερηςκραδ[]τ.[]τημεναιωςτοπαροιθε[]αβεθ[] αλλαταδ'αμμινεπειταθε []ητιμεληςει.[] ανδιάποιουςτανακενάευ ουταςίδοιμεν[
5]ροπαδηνβελεεςςινυφημετεροιςιδαμε.[
]οφρατιςενςπαρτηιβεβαρημ[.]νοςελκεϊλυγρωι	[
]μνηςεταιημειων·μηδ'αςκηθηςυπαλυξηι·	[
	αμενωςε, οικετελευτη ειεκρονιων	[
]εφυλακτη εςπυρακειατεκαιμεμαωτες κα	iete
10]εκτοςθενφιλίηνρυεςθεποληα.	υκερηγ
	νουδεκαιαυτοςεπειτ δεκαλλιονεςτιν	[
	αροιςμενεω φυλακαςδεμετειςομαιωκά	[
] μενεωνεμπαζομεθ'αλλεπεοικεν	Ĺ
] ντ τελειν.φρονεεινδεπαρηροταθυμω,	• [
15]εκαιϊαχελαοςομαρτηι	Ľ

Fr. 1 I]7.[, 7 is unusually tilted. The right-hand end of the cross-stroke touches the top left-hand 2]..., the base of a circle having to right a short arc of the lower right-hand side arc of a circle of a circle, followed by the base of a larger circle with a short cross-stroke above its right-hand end and three dots in a triangle to right ...[, the middle part of an upright with a stroke forking to right at top, followed by a stroke on the line with the foot of an upright at its right-hand end 4 I cannot verify a trema over the second i I cannot account for the horizontal stroke under ωi 10 marg. No 12 wka may have been struck out 15]er does not doubt ylukepny, but I cannot read this account for all the ink

Fr. 1 End of a speech of a commander to a beleaguered (?) force.

2 c] τήμεναι: cτήμεναι e.g. Il. xvii 167.

ώς τὸ πάροιθε(ν): e.g. Od. ii 312, Ap. Rhod. Argon. i 816. (Not in Il., which has many examples of ώς το πάρος περ.)

3 $\xi\pi\epsilon_{i\tau}a$ 'hereafter', much the same as $\mu\epsilon_{\tau}\delta\pi_{ic}\theta\epsilon$, with which it is doubled at Hes. Theog. 210.

θεω[ν ίότ]ητι: θεων ιότητι Il. xix 9 and repeatedly in Od., Ap. Rhod. Argon. iii 545.

4 cờu Δu : cf. cờu ... θεωι Π . ix 49, cừu δαίμουι Π . xi 792, but I have no better Homeric parallel to cdv Διί than cdv Aθήνηι καl Διl πατρί Il. xx 192. But in the inscription quoted by Pausanias iv 22, 7 ευρε δε (sc. ό χρόνος) Μεςςήνης ευν Διι τον προδότην.

ήώωυς ... φεύγοντας, 'running away in the morning'. The adverbial use of ή \hat{u} ωος (ή \hat{o} ιος) 'in the morning' is not found in Iliad or Odyssey-though, as the adverbial use of $i \pi \eta o i o c$ and the analogous $\chi \theta_{\nu} \zeta \delta c$ occurs in both, I do not know what significance is to be attached to the absence $-\eta \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ being in regular use. Apollonius Rhodius has adverbial ήῶιος at Argon. ii 688, 899, ὑπηῶιος at iv 841, otherwise following Homeric usage with $\dot{\eta}\hat{\omega}\theta\epsilon\nu$. Callimachus has no instance of $\dot{\eta}\hat{\omega}\theta\epsilon\nu$, three of the adjective in its stead, and the adjective is used at hy. Hom. iv (Merc.) 17, [Hes.] Scut. 396, and in the verse attributed to Euphorion, fr. 53, 2 P.

5 προτροπάδην: once in Il. xvi 304 π. φοβέοντο.

βελέες τιν υφ' ήμετέροις, δαμέν τας: similar έμωι ύπο δουρί δαμέντες Π. xi 749.

6 seq. Cf. Il. viii 513 seq. ως τις τούτων γε βέλος και οικοθι πέςςηι βλήμενος η ίωι η έγχει.

τής προτέρης κραδ ς τήμεναι ώς το πάροιθε άλλὰ τάδ' ἄμμιν ἔπειτα θεώ [ν ἰότ]ητι μελήςει. εύν Διί δ' ήώιους τάχα κεν φεύγοντας ίδοιμεν προτροπάδην, βελέες τν ύφ' ήμετέροιςι δαμέν τας, όφρα τις έν ζπάρτηι βεβαρημ[έ]νος έλκεϊ λυνρώι μνήςεται ήμείων, μηδ' άςκηθής ύπαλύξηι.]α μέν ώς ἐπέοικε τελευτήςειε Κρονίων δ] έφυλακτήρες, πυρά κείατε και μεμαώτες] ἕκτοςθεν φιλίην δύεςθε πόληα. ν ούδε και αυτός, επεί τόδε κάλλιόν εςτιν, έν μλεγάροις μενέω, φυλακας δε μετείςομαι ώκα, δυ ςμενέων έμπαζόμεθ' άλλ' έπέοικεν πάντα τελείν φρονέειν δ' έπαρηρότα θυμώι.

6 βεβαρημένος: not in Il., in Od. only forms of βεβαρηώς, iii 139, xix 122 (misquoted with βεβαρημένον at Aristot. Probl. 953^b12), in Ap. Rhod. Argon. a form of -ώc once, of -μένος twice. ελκει λυνοώι: Il. XV 202.

7 ήμείων: Homeric (as are ύμείων, εφείων, also found in the Argonautica of Apollonius Rhodius). Cf. Ap. Rhod. Argon. ii 603 acκηθείς ψπάλυξαν.

]ε και ίαγε λαός δμαρτήι.

8 we enéoike: not in Iliad (except as a variant of oce' e. at xxiv 595), in Odyssey and Ap. Rhod. Argon.

Cf. Ζεῦ πάτερ, αι γὰρ τοῦτο τελευτήςειας ἐέλδωρ Od. xxi 200, ὁ μὴ τελέςειε Κρονίων Od. iv 600. 9 pulakripec: not in Odyssey, in Iliad and Ap. Rhod. Argon.

πυρά κείατε: cf. Il. ix 234, Od. xxi 176 (πῦρ).

5

10

15

κείατε: in the aorist of καίω -κει- is found varying with -κη-, -κη-, in MSS. of Homer and elsewhere (e.g. Ap. Rhod. Argon. i 588).

The marginal entry substitutes the present for the aorist imperative.

 $\mu\epsilon\mu a\hat{\omega}\tau\epsilon c$ absolute, 'eager to be doing' or the like. Cf., e.g., $II. \ge 339 \beta\hat{\eta}\hat{\rho}$ ' $d\nu' \delta\delta\delta\nu \mu\epsilon\mu a\hat{\omega}c$, Ap. Rhod. Argon. iii 564 ώς ηύδα μεμαώς, iv 206 seq. láχηςαν θεςπέςιον μεμαώτες.

10 'Outside' presumably the fortifications; e.g. reixeoc. reixeoc errocher at Il. ix 552.

φιλίην... πόληα must here be supposed to mean 'your dear city'. This appears to be implied by the marginal entry. But the usual meaning of $\phi_i \lambda i a \pi \delta \lambda c$ would be 'a friendly city', i.e. one which is welldisposed or with which one is on good terms, a use common in historians (e.g. Thuc. v 44, Hdt. ix 13, Xen. Anab. v 7, 33), but not, that I can find, in the epic style. In fact I cannot adduce from this any example of philos in either sense; philos, 'beloved', appears to be peculiar to the Attic tragedians.

γλυκερήν: the best support I can adduce for γλυκερήν ... πόληα (apparently analogous to φίλην ... πατρίδα) is ής γαίης γλυκερώτερον Od. ix 28, γλύκιον ής πατρίδος ibid. 34 (sc. οὐδέν).

πόληα: perhaps by chance much rarer than the -η- forms of the other cases, [Hes.] Scut. 105 pueral τε πόληα, Callim. fr. 43, 60.

11 'And I, on my side, shall not stay at home'

έπει τόδε κάλλιόν έςτιν: cf. νῦν δή κάλλιόν έςτι Od. iii 70, ἐπεί πολύ κάλλιον οὕτως Od. iii 358, viii 543, ου ... τόδε κάλλιον Od. vii 159, φάςθαι δέ ζε κάλλιόν έζτιν Od. viii 549. (In II. only ου ... τό νε κάλλιον

¹ But the reference 'Herod(as) i 46' after nucley in LSI evé should be deleted.

Fr. 2



at xxiv 52; not in Hesiod or Apollonius Rhodius.) Sc. 'for this befits a leader better' i.e. to go the rounds than to stay at headquarters.

12 έν μεγάροις μενέω: cf., e.g., Od. xvii 569 seq. ένὶ μεγάροιςιν ἄνωχθι μεῖναι.

φυλακας: İ suppose φύλακας (on comparison with I. x 97 seqq. δεῦρ' ἐς τοὺς φύλακας καταβήσμεν, ὄφρα ίδωμεν, μή . . . κοιμήςωνται) is to be preferred to φυλακάς.

μετείζομα... 'bu' shall visit the sentinels'. The simple verb is found in *Iliad* and *Odyssey* (and perhaps once in Apollonius Rhodius, Argon. iii 738) sometimes digammated, sometimes not. The compound μετειζάμενος occurs in *Il.* xii 30, xvii 285, but επιείζομαι in *Il.* xi 367, xx 454, Od. xv 504 (and possibly καταείζατο in *Il.* xi 338).

13 I suppose the sense to be 'not that I care a fig for the enemy'. $\ell\mu\pi d\zeta\epsilon c\theta u$ represented once in *Iliad*, about ten times in *Odyssey* (not in Hesiod or Apollonius Rhodius; in a verse uncertainly attributed to Callimachus, fr. 784) generally means 'pay attention to' warnings or the like (e.g. $\theta\epsilon\sigma nponing$ II. xvi 50, *Od*. ii 201, $\ell\rho\omega\nu$ *Od*. is 553, $\mu\nu\theta\omega\nu$ *Od*. quinquies), or persons having a claim of some sort (*ikérac Od*. xvi 422, $\xi\epsilon t\omega\nu\nu$, *ikeráwu*, *knpúkwv* xix 134 seq.); here it is used to express concern with an enemy, that is, fear of what he can do (cf. Qu. Smyrn. i 423).

13 seq. The context seems to suit something like $d\rho\chi\partial\nu$ (où $\mu\epsilon\nu$ čouker $d\rho\chi\partial\nu$ έδντα κακών έπιβαςκέμεν Il. ii 233 seq.), but the subject need not have been expressed; 'it is proper (for me) to carry out—', and the beginning of the line might have had the form $d\rho\tau\mu\alpha$ or $\kappa\deltaс\mu\omega\nu$ or no doubt many another.

15 Cf. Il. xiii 822, 834 έπι δ' ίαχε λαός.

 $\delta_{\mu\alpha\rho\tau\eta\iota}$: some MSS. of the *Iliai* have $\delta_{\mu-}$ for $\dot{a}_{\mu-}$ at v 656, xxi 162, and of the *Odyssey* at xxii 81. At Ap. Rhod. *Argon.* i 538 only $\delta_{\mu-}$ is recorded. There is also variation in the spelling of the last syllable.

Fr. 2 Ι ὡς [εἰπών]: Homer passim, [Hes.] Scut. 122 (-οῦς' 338), not in Ap. Rhod. Argon. ἀ]πέπανcε: cf. e.g. ἀπέπανcε τόκον Il. xix 119.

1 seq. ἐπίθοντο . . . νυ[κτί: cf. II. vii 282, 293 ἀγαθὸν καὶ νυκτὶ πιθέσθαι. At II. viii 502, ix 65, Od. xii 291 πειθώμεθα νυκτὶ μελαίνηι suggests the possibility of νυκτὶ θοῆι here (νυκτὶ θοῆι II. xii 463, -a -ήν Od.

xii 284), θοή taken as 'black', see note on 2517 front l. 7. 2 seq. ποθέεκου . . . αντιάαεθαι : ποθείν with infinitive not Homeric; at Eur. Hec. 1020, Antiphon

v 64, apparently no earlier.

3 καίπερ: Homeric usage would require και κεκμηῶτες περ. καίπερ πολύιδριν ἐοῦςαν Hes. Cat. 43 (a) 57, (343, 6).

κεκμηώε and cases: three instances in Homer; κεκμηῶτες Ap. Rhod. Argon. iii 1341.

dvà κνέφας: not Homeric or Hesiodic. ἀνà νύκτα Il. xiv 80 (διà κνέφας saep. Ap. Rhod. Argon.). ἀντιάαςθαι: 'to take them on'. The middle once in Homer, Il. xxiv 62, but not in this sense. As here Ap. Rhod. Argon. ii 24.

4 ἀ cπacíη: Άχαιοῦς ἀ cπacíη . . . ἐπήλυθε νὺξ ἐρεβεννή II. viii 487 seq.

νυκτός όμίχλη: κατά νυκτός όμίχλην again Anth. Pal. v 228 (? vi cent.). In Homer όμίχλη is mist. The coronis marks the end of a book, as, e.g., 445 end of Il. vi.

I cannot find any cross-fibres common to fir. 1 and 2. If they were contiguous (or even close neighbours), it would be reasonable to suppose that the night referred to in fr. 2 was that preceding the daybreak envisaged in fr. 1, 4, and that the order of the two should be reversed.

2883. RHIANUS, MECCHNIAKA?

ώς [εἰπῶν ἀ]πέπαυςε μάχην, ἐπίθοντο δὲ λαοί νυ[κτὶ ,]νίκηι γὰρ ἀγαλλόμενοι ποθέεςκον, κα[ί]περ κ[ε]κμηῶτες, ἀνὰ κνέφας ἀντιάαςθαι. ἀςπαςίη δὲ Δάκωςιν ἐπήλυθε νυκτός ὀμίχλη.

2884. ELEGIACS

The style of the little that is left of these verses is consistent with their ascription to a Hellenistic or later author. Unless they are complemented in some way nothing useful can be said about them. I am uncertain whether or not the large fragment contains parts of more than one piece.

The text is written on the back of a roll (of which the front is blank except for a couple of letters in fr. 3), in a commonplace small upright second-century hand. There are no lection signs. There is a variant at fr. 2, 7, corrections at fr. 2, 5 and 8, a marginal addition at fr. 2, 17, in another hand or hands.

	Fr. 1
	Ichol
]εφσ[]cετη[
]ayav[
	$]av \tau \epsilon$
5]_οερ[
].ιcι[
	$]\pi ho[$
	$]\eta\kappa[$
]n[

Fr. 1 Perhaps from above the left-hand side of fr. 2 4. [, the left-hand end of a cross-stroke level with the top of the letters, τ ? 5.], the right-hand end of a cross-stroke level with the top of the letters 6.], a speck level with the top of the letters 8 κ much damaged, but ι . looks less attractive

Fr. 2

	· · · ·
]L
	$\int \epsilon v \eta \tau a$
	πεφορηςεν
5]_νλεχος[[[
]γαγοιοπολιν [
]οντοςεπιθραςεοςλεπ[
].cωτεκμαρεπηλυcιηc [
]αγυναικωνλητωινη
10].ειςειοθαλυκροςερως [
]ςηιςτηνπαρθενονουγαρεοικ[
].ζεινε.εμετηνολιγην [
].λυγρονεγωνυποδυομαιλιεχ[
]ηςηνδιακαμμονιην [
1 5]κουρεμεταπραπιδεςςιβαλες[
	ςονεο[]ολοκους [
] αγηδεκ []νπλεονηςανυζα[
]τεις ετιςαι ανεη
Fr o	

	11. 3	rr. 4
	• • •	
].[], avk .[
	$]\epsilon\nu[$].ν [
]``k[]. ι_{π} []. [
]νδạ[$]\chi \epsilon \iota u$ [
5	$] ho\omega au[$	5]υμολετι[
]ταρώ[
	$]\epsilon au\lambda[$	Fr. 4 1]., a speck on the line. I am by no
	$]\epsilon \nu$	means sure that λ is not a better choice than a
].oc[perhaps the right-hand curve of ω , but rather
10] ν[angular 3]., an upright [], if the base of the left-hand side and the right-hand arc of the
		right-hand side of ω_{1} a narrow letter might be lost

Fr. 3 There are a couple of letters (from a line of writing?) on the front 3], η or ι ? 9] level with the top of the letters an angular mark that I cannot assign to a letter

2]., ut rather the base arc of the ght be lost 5 τ looks more like γ

Fr. 4 5 No recorded word ends in -vuolétic (-έτης, -ετήρ). τυμβολέτης, -τις are found in Anth. Pal.

2884, ELEGIACS

Fr. 2 I], [, the foot of an upright; a dot on the line followed by the lower end of a stroke curving down from left, perhaps κ ; the lower left-hand arc of a circle 2], the lower end of a stroke descending from left, λ probable 3], there appears to be a dot close to the lower curve of ϵ [, 4] π retouched? [, a dot on the line the upper end of a stroke descending to right 5] perhaps the right-hand side of o After c about six letters, some or all blotted 6 oi : i retouched? 8], an upright? μ larger and thicker, but not apparently on another letter 10], a nearly horizontal stroke from left to the top of ϵ , with a dot on the line below its left-hand end, perhaps κ II κ only faint and confused traces, partly on the under-layer 12], apparently the end of a stroke running into the top stroke of ζ and the turn-up of a stroke descending from left against the base angle of ζ Of the first ι only a trace on a blackened fibre Between ϵ and ϵ apparently a stroke descending with a slight curve from left to right, but in a twisted and darkened place 13], the right-hand side of a circle λ_i is written, not α_i 14 m anomalous, but I think likelier than v16 seqq. There is a split or worm-run running down through these lines and I am not certain whether or not I have brought the edges too close together 16],..., the right-hand edge of a slightly concave stroke (?), followed by the left-hand half of a small circle and a convex stroke off the line like the right-hand stroke of a; the lower end of this touches a slightly forward-sloping upright, from the tip of which a shallow curve, like that of v, extends to right 1, a short descending stroke level with the 17]., perhaps the right-hand ends of top of the letters κ is anomalous, but γ was not written the overhang and cross-stroke of $\epsilon \gamma \eta$, γ more domed than elsewhere, of η only the uprights [, the left-hand edge of a circle marg. [, a V-shaped letter tilted to right, with a long upright, perhaps ρ_{1} descending from the tip of its right-hand stroke 18 Between c and ϵ a backward-sloping upright or slightly convex stroke perhaps having a projection to right from its tip $Of \tau$ only the right-hand end of the cross-stroke Between i and a (of which only part of the right-hand stroke) a low slightly convex upright?

Fr. 2 I cannot follow any continuous thread of sense in these half-lines and am doubtful whether a single piece is represented. The following disconnected observations are all I have to offer.

7 $\theta_{\rho\alpha}$ effective to be followed by a proper name, $\xi_{\tau i}$ and $\xi_{\tau i}$ might be variants in a clause of the form $\zeta \omega = 0$, $\Delta \epsilon \pi [$, while L, still (lived)' or 'in the time of L. (alive)'. If $\Delta \epsilon \pi [$ in fact represents a proper name, there are, I believe, no choices but $\Lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \dot{\nu} \mu v o v$, husband of Makar's daughter Methymna and father of Hiketaon, killed by Achilles in his raid on Lesbos (Λέςβου κτίεις ap. Parth. 21, Steph. Byz. in $M\eta\theta\mu\mu\nu\alpha$), and $\Lambda\epsilon\pi\rho\epsilon_{0i\sigma}$, who challenged Heracles to an eating competition and then to a fight in which he was killed (Pausan, y 5, 4; elsewhere he is called $\Lambda \epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \psi c$, not $\Lambda \epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \phi c$, v. P.-W. in y.), $\theta \rho a c \epsilon \phi c$ I suppose is in favour of the second.

9 Anywlvy, first here, Artemis. On names of this form see Pfeiffer on Callim, fr. 352.

]α γυναικών: δέςποινα γυναικών, of Artemis, in the same place Anth. Pal. vi 269, 5 (πότνα γυναικών, of Artemis, at the end of the verse Anth. Pal. vi 287, 1).

10 θαλυκρός: see Pfeiffer on [Callim.] fr. 736. έρως or "Eρως?

11 seq. où yàp čoik[. . .]. ζειν.

12 Since there is no room for more than one letter between ϵ and ϵ and a long syllable is required, it seems that an error must be postulated. It might have been corrected by an interlinear addition,

13 ύποδύομαι αίεχος, 'take on myself, shoulder'; cf. Demosth. κ. Άριστοκρ. 12 αἰτίαν ύποδύς εςθαι. 15 κοῦρε: vocatives often in the first verse of an epigram.

μετά πραπίδες ι βαλες [θ-: cf. μετά φρες ι βάλλεαι Π. ix 434 seq., ένι φρες ι βάλλεο Hes. Op. 107.

16 seqq. There are mysteries in these three verses partly no doubt due to deficiencies in the decipherment, but partly it seems the result of corruption in the text.

16 The only place where λοκος is found is in the entry λοκός· λοκρός, φαλακρός in Hesychius. Whatever is to be made of this, it throws no light here. No known Greek word ends in -olokoc. Several, if an error is assumed, end in - $o\lambda o \chi o c$, but none of them suits. - $o \lambda \delta \chi o v c$ seems the only theoretical alternative left. I am not sure that there is room for even a single letter between $\nu \epsilon 0$ and ρ_0 , certainly not for more than , or p.

17 A syllable is shown to be missing by the metre. $\kappa\epsilon\nu$ would fill the space and can hardly be avoided.

NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS

18 The second half of the pentameter must begin with τ or a preceding consonant (which could only be κ), or, if the possibility of elision at the diaeresis is entertained (cf. Callim, fr. 42, 6 $\tau o \hat{v} \tau$), with ϵ . These alternatives and the various articulations open make it possible to devise a number of theoretically acceptable collocations of words, which it is pointless to record. I cannot verify airavén (Anth. Pal. vi 57, 4 άγρότιν αίγανέαν).

2885. ELEGIACS. EPODE

Scraps containing elegiacs and epodes, in one hand and from one manuscript, raise hope of additions to the Archilochus already found in some quantity at Oxyrhynchus. But as soon as larger pieces are formed it becomes plain that something much later than Archilochus is represented. Apart from the one epode, about the date of which it might be possible to hesitate, the elegiac poems can hardly be supposed earlier than the end of the fourth century B.C. and might be much later. I have come on no clue to the author-I assume in the absence of a statement at fr. 1, 21 or fr. 11, 11, that the several pieces are by the same person, though at fr. 11, 16 I refer to what might be an indication of a diversity of sources-and except at fr. 1, 11 seqq. have not been able to elicit any continuous sense from what has survived. Those verses are the conclusion of a piece in which a speaker warns a female character of the danger of allowing herself to be too greatly influenced by love, citing examples from old stories of women who for love had committed crimes against fathers and brothers. Even in these lines a great deal remains uncertain.

The text is written on the back of a roll in a small, round, second-century hand, slightly firmer in fr. 4 than in the rest. But this fragment and the upper part of fr. 1 have on the front remains of lines of a fair-sized, upright, business-hand, which, with the similarity of the surface, goes to show that they were not very far apart. The front of the other fragments is blank and they are assigned to this manuscript on the strength of the hand. The horizontal surfaces of frr. 8 and 11 are likewise so similar (and to a less degree those of frr. 7, 9, and 10), that it may be inferred that these also came from one sheet.

There are no lection signs except a couple of apostrophes in fr. 4. Besides one or two self-corrections there are some additions and corrections made with a thicker pen.

			Fr. 1	r.
	(a)			
].aµ.[].[]a[]us w[]] [
5		$ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\], \nu o \tau , , [&] a \gamma , , [\\] \lambda \eta , [] , \delta a [&] a c a \lambda [\\] a \pi \epsilon , \theta , [] \xi a c \pi , [\\] , \pi [] , \phi o \beta \epsilon \epsilon c \theta a [\\ \end{array} $		
10].[]μοφ.[].[][.].ξειον.[.]μεροπ[].απορεγχωςςω.[(b)][]απε[].a πόρεν χώςςω.[]aπε[
]αιcταφιη η ουυτη[]ατριδικαμνουτη []καχεπαρθενικην ,c .[]αιδεςυ ,ερςφετερης .[]ναδαςο.[]ελευθ.[]γοςου.[]ευμαπε.[$ \pi] a i c Taφίη ψή coυc π[Ἐχι]νάδας o.[π] ατρίδι καμνούςη <ι>.[] ελευθ.[]. η] καχε παρθενική ν.ς.[]νοςουδ[]. π] αιδ <δ> c ὕπερ ςφετέρης π[ν] εῦμαπε.[]$
15		.].εςεναψυρτονμηδη[]ωρην[]αρων.ξοχον[.]λλατι[].αδιε.[].[ουδο.[].ο[[:]ου[]ςιπο[]ινηδε.[]λιδε[].τηο δα.[].κραδιη.[ώ]λεςεν Άψυρτον Μήδη[κάς]ιν, ή δε.[Δι]ώρη ν[ε]αρῶν ἔξοχον [Alo]λιδέων[. ἀ]λλὰ τί [ταῦ]τα διε.[].[].τηο δα.[ουδο,[]το.ουτ[] ειπο[]κραδίην[
20		χ[[_ι]]αινηδυπερωτοςατας[δητικαταςμνέαςθηςει[].λουοςςε[χλιαίνη $\langle \iota \rangle$ δ' ὑπ' "Ερωτος ἀτας[θ]άλου, ὅς ςε[δή τι καταςμύξας θήςει [ἐλ]ευνοτέοη[ν
21] [
25] $\phi \in v\gamma \in []o\delta[,], \mu[$] $\epsilon\lambda\partial\eta \in [], \epsilon\tau\iota.[$] $\eta\pi o\rho.[], \epsilon_{\alpha}e_{\tau}.[]$] $ov\mu a[], \kappa, a\rho.[], [].[$] [
30]τειν[]ης κυθικοψ[]ουδεμ[]πι.ιγγεςα[]η[]δ[]κυιν[]η[]δ[]κυιν[]η[]]αςιγνητουχρ[.]κυτ[.],ονκεινοψ,ου[.]λα,[.]καιφευγον.ακιχη[(d) 	τειν[]η Κκυθικοῦ[ουδει[]πίφιγγες α[η[]δ[]κυαν[η[κ]αιγνήτου χρ[ώ]κύτ[α]τον κείνου.ου[][.]λα.[.]καὶ φεύγοντα κιχή[co]μαι[
35	(e)]]	^{1μπε[}],ρυcεου []ν.[]ε[]κλεμ[],ι[]εδιωκον.[]αcμ[],ι[]ωντυδ.[ηοκοco.[]χαρ[],πε[ηναειδ[.]cχρυcε[]νο[ηκοτεδαρδανμη[]]αμυμο[]µ[][]¢a[].o[].[,] $\chi \rho \nu c \acute{e} o v [] \nu .[] \epsilon[] \mu [$ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \mu [\mu] \delta l [.] \epsilon \delta l \omega \kappa \nu .[] .\pi [$ $a c \mu [] .[.] \omega \nu \tau \nu \delta .[]] c a [$ $\eta \delta \kappa o c o .[] \chi a \rho [] .\pi \epsilon]] .o[$ $\nu \eta c \delta [o] c \chi \rho \nu c \epsilon] \nu o [$ $\eta \kappa \sigma \tau \epsilon \ d a \rho \delta a \eta \kappa \rho [] d u \eta u \rho [\nu]$
	j	ιπνουντ_ςκοιλ[]ηγαγονε['Ιπνοῦντος κοιλ[]ἤγαγον ε[
40]	ηγαρςο[.]γαιηκ.[]εώνχαρι δαιμον[.]ςωιλιςς.ςτειβετα[.[η γαρ co[,]γαιηκ,[]εων χάριν[δαίμον[ο]ς ῶι λιςςὴ ςτείβετα[ι διείαν του Φινοι άνοιθειδια.
]	αιακιδη[.]δο[.]οπων[]θελε	νι[μετρω παν ημαρ αμοιρασο[ν Αἰακίδη[] Δο[λ]όπων []θελενι[
]	$\xi v v v c .a a a \rho \theta \mu v [] \kappa . v \eta \theta [$		ξυν.ους.αι ἀρθμ'ο'ῦ[]κ.ιηθ[
45]	πρωτονερ.ιναεο[]κλυεφ[πρώτον Ἐρ.ιναέο[c]κλυεφ[

Fr. 1 The column has been made up of a number of scraps, of which five do not touch but are located with more or less exactitude on the strength of external or internal evidence. The level of (b) in relation to (a) is fixed by the cross-fibres; its distance is the measure of $\epsilon \lambda$ and their room in 1. 30. Similarly, the level of (d) in relation to (c) is fixed by the cross-fibres; its distance is less certain, but, if $\kappa_{k\gamma}c_{\rho\mu}a$ is to be recognized in 1. 32, it is the measure of co and part of μ_{λ} and their room, in that line. The level of (c) in relation to (a) is not to be fixed so confidently by the cross-fibres, but if it is correct, their interval is fixed by the alignment of ω_{0} in 1. 31 under η in 1. 30. The level of (c) in relation to (c) is likewise not certainly by fixed the cross-fibres, but their interval is similarly fixed by the alignment of first letters.

(a) is composed of scraps of which some have warped independently so that the location of letters in relation to one another is often doubtful. The upper part is rubbed so that decipherment also is uncertain. (b) is darkened and the right-hand side too ragged, except in the last lines, for anything to be made of the traces.

Fr. 12 q[? but abnormally small, perhaps illusory 3 After ¢ perhaps a forward-sloping stroke with faint traces to right of its top Before ω an upright 4], the foot of an upright ...[, the back of a loop as of α ?, followed by specks, perhaps of two letters For γ possibly π ; then dispersed traces of two or three letters 5 After n the upper part of an upright rising above the general level. followed by a blank with a speck above in the interlinear space Before δ a corrected letter, perhaps ϵ intended For λ perhaps ν , but now no right-hand upright 6 Of β only the tail Before θ perhaps α , but a stroke through it not accounted for After θ the upper left-hand arc of a small circle [, traces to right of the right-hand angle of π and others to right of these above the general level 7], the lower end of a stroke descending from left with the start of a faint stroke rising to right from its 8], [, an upright] close to the edge [], level with the top of the letters perhaps the foot lower left-hand corner of the loop of ρ , followed at an interval by the left-hand arc of a small circle]..., the lower part of a slightly forward-sloping stroke, followed by a small hook open to right on the line, and this by a stroke descending to touch the top of the left-hand stroke of a large, tilted angular loop open to right, ? a 9 seq. The beginnings of these two lines are on a detached morsel. I am doubtful whether in reattaching them I have got l. 10 in its proper position 9], the end of a stroke from left touching ξ above its base angle [, a small concave stroke level with the top of the letters]...[, the count is uncertain; if the second and third were ou, there might be parts of as many as five 10], now, a horizontal stroke just below the top of the letters and below it a slightly domed stroke just below the line [, the edge of a stroke, apparently sloping slightly backwards [, π or possibly γ , followed by the base of a small circle just off the line II Between n and n only a couple of specks 12 After η the lower left-hand curve of θ or c [, a short upright descending [, faint traces from the right-hand end of the cross-stroke of θ : ϵ not suggested 13 After $\eta \nu$ a headless upright descending below the line, the foot slightly hooked to right; anomalous for ι (but cf. fr. 7, 1) or v [, on 14 Between v and ϵ only blurred ink (b) [, an upright the line a hook open to right 17 .[, a trace (the tip of an upright?) level with the top of the letters]., the right-hand end of a cross-stroke at mid letter: I think a likelier than ϵ 18 [, a headless upright Between o and o an altered and apparently cancelled letter (? v) with the foot of an upright above ...[, off the line at the right-hand end of the cross-stroke of τ the base of o or ω , followed by two dots near together, on the line]... the base of an oval, followed at an interval by an upright of has a cross-stroke through its right-hand side but I do not think ϵ was intended 19 The original reading looks like λ_i , not α_i ; I do not see 21 The left-hand end of a cross-stroke and a heavy dot below what need there was of correction 22], an upright, prima facie i, but there seems to be ink to left of its foot 23], perhaps the right-hand ends of the arms of κ [, a dot level with the top of the letters 24 For n et may not be ruled out [, the left-hand side of a circle; c or o Of]c only the overhang [, a cross-stroke as of τ , but perhaps not all the ink accounted for 25], a dot off the line After κ I think simply τ , but [] γ may be possible [], the foot of an upright]. [, a speck on the line 26 [, an upright; acceptable], level with the top of the letters a dot close to the upper end of a thin stroke descending to right, followed by the upper right-hand part of v or η 27 Of c only a speck on the line Of v only the tip of the left-hand branch 28 Of ϕ only the underside of the loops, barely recognizable 31 Between v and o the right-hand tip of a stroke from left touching o just through rubbing below the top], [, the lower end of a stroke curving strongly to right to touch the foot of a stroke

apparently hooked to right; e.g. μ and α . o 32 Prima facie one letter lost at beginning; see n. f. the lower left-hand side of a circle 33], [, the top of a circle, followed at an interval by a small convex upright above the line After $]_{\nu}$ perhaps an abnormally tilted τ ...[, the foot of an upright, followed by a small apex off the line. There seem to be traces above []e 34-6 The alignment is 34 For $\beta \lambda$ may be possible, not a [, a median dot For π [possibly γ], the second irregular letter being represented by a slightly convex upright above which there is a trace 35].[, a heavy dot, level with the top of the letters [, the edge of a slightly convex upright 36 [, the lower part of an upright; if ν , nothing lost before χ], an upright with foot hooked to right, e.g. η or π (d)], one letter (perhaps η or v) written on another; it has been struck through 39 written on v 40]y anomalous, but so would τ be; no reason to expect ψ [, perhaps the left-hand edge of a small 41 n represented only by an upright with a faint trace of the upper part of an upright to its circle left 42 Of *n* only the tip of the right-hand upright 44 Between v and v a triangular letter (8?) on which is written a thick 'horse-shoe' open upwards, followed by a not completely closed or. I think less probably, a small c Between c and a what resembles the right-hand stroke of a, though a would not fill all the room After κ prima facie λ , but perhaps a with a flat loop 45 After ερ a (?) on which is written ϵ , above which a dot presumably indicating cancellation

Fr. 1 11 $\pi a c Ta \phi \eta$: Taphii inhabitants of the Echinades islands. The 'Taphian girl' will be Komaetho, daughter of Pterelaus, king of Taphos. By cutting off the magic hair in her father's head she brought about his fall, when attacked by Amphitryon and his allies. This misdeed was prompted by her infatuation with one of the enemy leaders: Apollod. *Bibl.* ii 4, 7; Tzetz. in Lycoph. 932, 934.

II seq. I suppose the sense to be: and she was indifferent about her country's freedom, e.g. oùô' $\xi \mu \epsilon \lambda^2 \, a d r \hat{\eta} \iota \pi a \tau \rho (\delta \iota \kappa a \mu \nu o \upsilon c \eta \iota c \omega c a \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \theta \epsilon \rho (\eta \nu, though - \theta \epsilon [is not the natural decipherment.$

καμνούςηι 'hard pressed in the fight', cf. Eur. Suppl. 709 το κάμνον οἰκείου στρατοῦ, and similarly πονεῖν Thuc. i 30, 3, al., Xen. Cyrop. i 4, 21.

cώιζειν τί τινι: cf. Plato Protag. 356 c τί αν ἕςωζεν ήμιν τον βίον; Dem. xix 252 την μεν χώραν ἕςωςε τη πόλει.

13 The absence of a connective particle after $\eta \kappa a \chi \epsilon$ and after $\omega \lambda \epsilon cev$, l. 15, is consistent with the hypothesis that these are elements in a series of examples of similar things, in this case crimes committed by women for love.

If the name of Nisus is to be recognized, $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\epsilon\nu\kappa\eta$ will be a reference to his daughter Scylla, who played a similar part to Komaetho in the Megarian story (alluded to by Aeschylus, *Choeph.* 613 seqq. and reported in many places, v. Frazer ad Apollod. *Bibl.* iii 15, 8), of which the Taphian is a doublet. But there is in this story nothing to which $\pi\alpha\omega\delta c$ $\tilde{v}\pi\epsilon\rho$ $c\phi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho\eta c$ is obviously applicable (though $\delta\pi\delta$ would suit well enough), and $\alpha\delta\delta[\epsilon$ perhaps introduces a new instance, as η $\delta\epsilon$ does in I. 15, though I cannot guess what it was or how it was expressed.

The following observations may be worth making in spite of the uncertainty of their relevance. If mapfeuk? Nicou, I have one example of $\pi a_{\rho} \beta e \nu \kappa \gamma'$ for 'daughter', Ap. Rhod. Argon. iv 1743, but, there are several of $\pi a_{\rho} \theta e \nu \kappa \gamma'$ for 'daughter', Ap. Rhod. Argon. iv 1743, but, there are several of map $\theta e \nu \kappa \gamma'$ for and examples collected by Pfeiffer, Callim. ii p. 103. But $\gamma' \kappa \alpha \chi \epsilon$ requires an object, so that Nico[$\nu \mu \epsilon$] voc, for example, may be preferable, though I am not sure that there is room for $\nu \mu \epsilon$ in the gap.

14 chérepoc 'his, her own' as early as Pindar (e.g. fr. 215, 2), more than half a dozen times in Apollonius Rhodius, the only meaning in Callimachus. Accepting the reading maidec and the meaning 'their own' I can make no plausible suggestion.

15 ὤλεεεν: cf. Eur., Med. 167 τὸν ἐμῶν κτείναια κάcιν, 1334 (Jason speaking) κτανοῦca yàρ δὴ còν κάcιν. For other versions v. P.-W. Apsyrtos, and even here ὥλεεεν is not perfectly unambiguous, but might mean 'was responsible for the death of'.

Μήδη for Μήδεια cf. ὦκύμορον πόμα Μήδης Andromachus π. Γαλήνης (ap. Galen. xiv p. 33), Euphor. fr. 14, 3 ex cj. Meinek.

16 All that is known about Diores, son of Aeolus, is contained in Parthen. $\partial_{\rho}\omega\tau$. $\pi\alpha\theta$. 2. When his sister Polymela was deserted by Odysseus, he persuaded his father to give her to him for wife. Neither name occurs in the list of this Aeolus' children at schol. H, Q, Od. x 6, or in any of the lists or mentions of children of 'Aeolus'. The story told by Parthenius is stated to come from the *Hermes* of Philetas, a hexameter poem.

 $v[\epsilon]ap\hat{\omega}v$: there seems to be no choice, but ϵ looks on the short side.

17 άλλα τί ταθτα διεμ[-: I suppose, 'Why do I recount these stories?' [ταν] looks rather too much for the gap.

Somewhere before 1. 19 I should expect $\mu \eta$, 'so that you do not fall into the same error'.

18 οὐδ' ὅτ[ι] το'ι'ούτω looks acceptable as a decipherment.

19 'and be inflamed by wicked love', cf. οίωι δ' έχλίηνεν . . . Κωκράτη . . . Κύπρις πυρός μένει Hermesian. 7, 89 segg. P.

20 καταςμύξας: see Gow's note at Theoc. iii 17.

έλεγχοτέρην: irregularly formed comparatives are found at all periods, v. Kühner-Blass, Gr. Gr. 1 564 seqq. έλεγχότερος (of which the positive έλεγχής and the superlative έλεγχής are Homeric) is, as far as I know, unrecorded.

Presumably a genitive is implied, 'will make you worse than the persons I have cited', e.g. $\delta c c' \epsilon \tau t$ κείνων.

21 I suppose a title, like fr. 11, 11, but very short, since it does not extend into (b).

22 seqq. $\phi \epsilon \vartheta \gamma \epsilon [, \phi \epsilon \vartheta \xi \eta [\iota c? 1. 26, \phi \epsilon \vartheta \gamma \rho \nu \tau a \kappa \iota \chi \eta [co] \mu a \iota, 1. 32, and perhaps <math>\epsilon \delta \ell \omega \kappa \rho \nu$, 1. 34, seem to be elements of a single theme. I cannot see any trace of it beyond this.

28 Hesychius, who has $\pi i \phi a \lambda \lambda i c$ $\pi i \phi_i \gamma \xi$ has $\pi i \phi_i \gamma \xi$ $\kappa o \rho v \delta a \lambda \langle \lambda \rangle \delta c$. This bird (Artemiche, daughter of Kleinis, metamorphosed) is on good terms with gods and men, Ant. Lib. xx, from Boeus and Simmias of Rhodes.

32 I should guess $d\lambda\lambda data ce \kappa a \phi e \psi youra, if it were not that a long with the left-hand part of the$ second λ looks as though it would project into the left-hand margin.

35 There is virtually no alternative to a form or derivative of Tudeúc.

39 $i\pi\nu\sigma\bar{\nu}c$ is recorded only as the name of a place in Samos (Steph, Byz. in v.). But in view of the considerations set out below I should guess that the place meant might rather be "Invoc, iv Invéav rivéc, Λοκρών τών 'Οζολών, 'a town of West Lokris'.

40 In spite of what I say in the apparatus I do not think that the possibility c' Oirain should be rejected. Mt. Octa lies reasonably near the other places that may be conjectured to be referred to hereabouts.

41 daiporoc: there may be some relevance in Zenob. v 44 Oiraioc daipor Khéapyóc pycer, öre δαίμων τις Οίταιος έπωνομάςθη δε υβριν και ύπερηφανίαν πάνυ έμίζησεν. Or perhaps Herakles is meant, who had an altar on the top of Mt. Oeta.

42 I suppose 'all day long' (πάνημαρ Od. xiii 31, πανημερόν Hdt. vii 183, πανημέριον Il. xi 279, πάν δ' ήμαρ Il. i 592, xviii 453), but for all I can tell 'every day' might be meant.

43 Alaκίδηe is multifariously ambiguous. In connection with Dolopes Peleus seems as likely as any. Cf., e.g., Il. ix 479 seqq.

44 Eurovichai for Kouvovichai is attested for the 4th century B.C. (Nearchus ap. Arrian. Ind. 20, 4), and KOLVOUCHAL with a genitive has parallels in Euripides (e.g. Phoen. 1709). But Euroverdal was not written here and does not scan.

45 Apparently $E\rho[\Gamma_i]|_{i\nu\alpha\in o[c. E\rho_i\nu\alpha(\iota)\in vc}$ is a demotion in Rhodes, but I suppose of no relevance here. Epweevc is attested as 'citizen of 'Epweoc' (Steph. Byz. in v.), the name of a number of places, of which the most frequently mentioned is that in Doris, which lies between Oeta and Parnassus.

(-a- may be a mere mistake, but I believe I can produce other examples of the change of ϵ to a in some collocations of vowels, I suppose for ease of pronunciation.)

29



Fr.2 I This has the appearance of a title 3], I think the right-hand upright of η or π [, 5], perhaps the right-hand ends of the overhang and crossthe left-hand side of a small circle stroke of ϵ [, the upper end of a stroke descending to right



Fr. 3 1 The foot of an upright treme tips of letters; perhaps ϵ or c; ι ; χ Above the line the upper end of a stroke descending to right followed by a short concave upright

2 Of ϵ no cross-stroke, but I think not ω 5 Ex-

], on the line the lower part of a stroke rising to right? 14], a median dot 15 I cannot rule out η [16], perhaps the right-hand stroke of μ 19], the end of a stroke from left touching the loop of a .[, the left-hand side of a small loop off the line 20], the foot of an upright .[, a slightly concave upright 22], the right-hand end of a cross-stroke 24 .[, γ or π

Fr. 4 5 I see nothing else as likely as νρτακ[ι]ννν, though ι looks as if it would have been crushed, unless what I have taken for the foot of the first upright of ν is the foot of ι. Υρτακίνος is one of the names of a township in Crete, of which others are Υρτακος and Υρτακόνα (Steph. Byz. in Υρτακος; Scyl. περιπλ. 47. Υρτακινων on its drachmas implies -κινος or -κινον).

Since $\pi \delta \lambda \nu Accapárov$ cannot be supposed to be a definition of $\Upsilon p \pi a \kappa i \nu o \nu$, a reasonable guess seems to be 'leaving Hyrtakinus he came to Assaracus' town', $-\omega \nu$ (but not $\pi p o \lambda \epsilon (\pi \omega \nu o \nu)$ ' $\Upsilon p \pi a \kappa i \nu o \nu$ $\iota [\kappa \epsilon r o | \epsilon c] \pi \delta \lambda \nu A$., or something of the sort.

Assaracus was one of the sons of Tros, and since Ilium may not have been yet built in his time (and Diodorus iv 75, 5 says he was king of Dardanians), his 'town' may refer to Dardania (*Il.* xx 216).

7 seq. A comparison with similar contexts makes $\delta\rho\tau$], look unavoidable as the beginning of 1. 8. E.g. Theor. xi 9 with Gow's note, *I.G.* 3, 1314, Metag. 4, 3, *Anth. Pal.* ix 219. But I do not then see the purpose of the marginal addition.

χνοῦς λέγεται τοῦ γενειῶν ἀρξαμένου schol. Aristoph. Nub. 978 (similarly Hesych. in χνόος).

γενείωι χνοῦς ἐπ[: cf. Aristoph. Nub. 978 χ. ὅς περ μήλοιςιν ἐπήνθει, Callim. Hy. ii 37 ἐπὶ χνόος ήλθε παρειαίς, Anth. Pal. xii 36 μηροῖς δέὺς ἔπεςτι χνόος.

8 διειδόμενος: Hesych. in διαείδεται· (Il. xiii 277) φαίνεται; schol. Callim. Hy. iv 191 (διειδομένη) φαινομένη.

I Apparently $\check{\epsilon}o\rho\gamma[\epsilon\nu o]\check{v}\delta$, though it looks a tight fit. où μέντ(oi) $\check{\epsilon}o\rho\gamma\epsilon\nu o\check{v}\delta\check{v} \,\dot{\alpha}\kappa\lambda$ [seems a reasonable guess, but I do not think it would reach the same alignment as $a\rho\tau\iota$, if that was written at the beginning of l. 8.

μέντοι appears to be very rare in verse of this kind; v. Denniston, G.P. p. 399.

έρδεω characteristic of the Ionic vocabulary; έοργα, I believe, apart from Homer, at present attested only in prose.

13 If $\kappa\eta\pi\iota$ is to be taken as $\kappa\alpha\iota \,\epsilon\pi\iota$, I call attention to a peculiarity of the $\pi\alpha\rho\Delta\delta\sigma\iota\iota$ for which I cannot account. In manuscripts of early Ionic authors the crasis of $\kappa\alpha\iota \epsilon$ - is represented by $\kappa\alpha$ -, $\kappa\epsilon\mu\epsilon$ **2310** (Archilochus) fr. 1 i 37, $\kappa\alpha\nu\epsilon\tau\lambda\eta\epsilon\epsilon$ **2174** (Hipponax) fr. 11 i 6. But in the 'Ionic' pieces of Callimachus it is much more often than not represented by $\kappa\eta$ -, e.g. fr. 194, 32; 54; 88, and this encroachment of 'Doric' extends even to 'Acolic' in, e.g., Theocritus xxix 37 ($\kappa\eta\pi\iota$ in the 'ionic' not exclusion of the taken and the taken at taken at taken at the taken at the taken at tak

Fr. 4 $\mu\mu\epsilon$ ου ωνυρτανον 5 πολιναςςαρακου εννενειωιχνους επ ιδιειδομενος αρτι] εινοναυτονδηϊοιςε νενενυαλιος 10 μεντ'εοργ υδενακλ ε ε αλλα υ εινδε η κηπιγα ιμενοι 15 κτωικυμ'αλυ]ω μονοντον

NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS

Fr. 4 Epode consisting of iambic trimeter and dactylic penthemimer, like Archilochus, frr. 89, 104 (185, 182 West), and the Strasbourg epodes (Hipponax, frr. 115, 117 West). The only certainty about the contents is that they relate to a young man whose beard is just showing. He may have come from Crete to the Troad and seems to have been in a fight.

ldanc

act

]. εν.[]çολε[].λιχ[]τεκ[]ca.[

20

Fr. 4 3 .[, γ or π 5], α or ϵ suggested Between \circ (of which only the base) and ν specks Of ν the stalk up to the start of the fork; it is followed by the foot of an upright hooked to right, and this by ink that would be taken for c, but that it is high off the line After α a speck below the line [, a slightly concave upright γ], an upright with a speck to left of its foot, preceded by a stroke descending from left α 9], the upper end of a stroke rising from left and a median dot below; perhaps κ , but ξ perhaps not ruled out 12], the upper right-hand side of a small circle or loop

Fr. 5]θ.[]φ[]εcaν[]ιδε[Blank for c. 3 vv.





5

Fr. 6 I The lower part of ϕ or ψ suggested 5 Tops of letters: a thick dot; the top of a small circle; the tip of an upright



Fr. 7 2]., the lower part of an upright 4]., a, I think, rather than λ 5] γ perhaps not ruled out [5]? an upright 6]., the lower part of a stroke rising from left? 7]., the right-hand end of a cross-stroke as of γ [5], the left-hand side of a circle, c? 8 [5] the right-hand parts of θ ?

	2885. ELEGIACS
	Fr. 8
]. <i>p</i> .[
]ευομενη[
	εγκελαδοιο
] χαλυβων [
5] ουμενε
Ŭ	πλείδων
] osci suteris
] pece er epec
10]αλευκολισων [
]αιδεςαρειδα
]vaπη[
].ομαιεξοτεπι[
]οςεξακιδων [
15]παϊτουνεκας.[
]αγουςινεοι [
	ριδεςαμφιγε
]φιδεαι [
	μεμορυχμε
20	Ισαλοι Γ
	1 <i>[</i> L

Fr. 8 1]., the end of a stroke from left touching ρ near the top [, on the line a loop as of a 4], a speck near the top of χ 5], α or λ looks preferable to ν 7], the right-hand end of a cross-stroke level with the top of the letters After ι the foot of an upright, followed by an angular sign level with the top of the letters; if ν , anomalous 9.[, the left-hand side of a circle 11 α .[, of α only the top of the right-hand stroke; followed by the top of an upright bent to left 12].., the upper right-hand are of a small circle level with the top of the letters, perhaps only the overhang of c, followed by the foot of an upright Λ fter α the foot of an upright Λ before π a cusp on the line; not μ 13], the right-hand side of a circle; ω acceptable 4β , p sometimes has a very small loop, but I do not think can be naturally read here 14 Of β only the right-hand edge 15.[, a dot on the line 17], a slightly convex upright β prima facie γ or π 20 Of $\beta\phi$ only the top of the upright. No ink remains between this and a, but I think there may be room for ι , though is top should have shown

Fr. 8 8 Perhaps $T_{\rho 0}$] $\phi \omega n i \delta a \alpha$, mentioned by Plutarch (*de fac. in orb. lun.* 944 d) as beings comparable with Idaean Dactyls and Corybants, $\tau o i c \pi e \rho i$ Bown(as $e^{i} \uparrow o v \delta \omega \rho a$ Tpo $\phi \omega n i \delta \delta a$. But there may be other supplements and *-ia \delta a c* may be a case of *-ia \delta e c* not of *ia \delta a*. 9 $\pi \rho \mid o c n e^{i} \delta a$.

13 If ρ could be read, $\pi \rho [\hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ would be a reasonable guess.

D

of the letters, then a stroke descending to right and the base of a circle with ink above 7 .[, a stroke rising to right 9 .[, now looks most like θ , but I think illusory 11 Of ρ [only the loop 12], the lower part of a stroke descending from left? 13 .[, an upright; κ , ν not suggested 14], a dot level with the top of the letters 15 .[, a median dot 16], perhaps the right-hand base angle of δ 17]., two dots resembling the underside of a damaged loop, followed by the top of a stroke bending over to right

	Fr. 11
] [] [] ματα[]πολ.[]ηκομεγ[]πλεις[
], ϊερονπ.[].εθρον[
][]φωνλυειγυιαμε[
5].δοτιθερμαλοετραφ[
][].[.]οcaλλοτιcoιcoμμ[
]ειθεγαρε .[]εαςτοτεδ[
]ε.πο.ε[]λληλοιςτου[
	.]νυ[]νυνειδωλα[
10].[]ιδεςενμουςηιο.[
][.].νις [
] [].οτικα.[].υςηι.[
][]τηια.[]ηι[
] οιδοτικα[
15] επλεονα[
] οθματαδ[
] $\mu\eta\eta$.[][
] specks [

Fr. 11 t Of φ only the lower left-hand arc [, the left-hand side of a circle; ϵ or the left-hand stroke of ω suggested 2 Of $]_{\pi}$ only the right-hand upright, but * would be indented Of p[only the left-hand upright of f_{π} only the right-hand upright 3], the upper end of a stroke descending to right with a speck to left [, a speck on the line], the edge of an upright 4]..., two diagonally opposed specks, followed at an interval by a dot, all about level with the top of the letters, then the lower end of an upright descending below the line 5], the upper end of a stroke rising from left; too flat for v? 6]..., the lower part of a stroke rising to right from below the line [, the base of a small loop on the line].[, the base on the line of a small circle 7.[, a speck level with the top of the letters 8 Between ϵ and π a speck on the line After o the upper part of a stroke descending steeply to right, followed by the upper part of a stroke rising to right; neither μ nor v suggested Of $[\lambda]$ only traces of the feet 9].., I can find no satisfactory combination; something against each of $\epsilon_{\eta}, \epsilon_{v}, \eta_{v}$ 10].[, an appex level with the top of the letters?], a speck below the line [, the left-hand loop of ϕ suggested, but λ not ruled out 11...[, the left-hand loop of ϕ suggested, but λ not ruled out 11...[, the left-hand loop of ϕ suggested.

18 $d\mu$]φιδέαι 'bracelets' and 20 κεκρύ]φαλοι 'coifs' belong to the same class of object, but $d\delta\epsilon \lambda$]φιδέαι is a theoretical alternative for the first and there are several for the second, besides $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$]φ[l]αλοι, if an ι were lost.

19 Although $\mu \epsilon \mu o \rho v \chi \mu \epsilon' v o c$ is once found in the *Odyssey*, the word otherwise only appears in much later writing.

Fr. 9 .]ην[].εηcπ[]cµacτ[]ραεν[

Fr. 9 I am not sure that this fragment does not stand immediately above fr. 10, so that the lower end of the stalk of ρ is what appears in fr. 10, I 2]., above the general level an upright with a short stroke rising to right from its foot

r. 10, I	2 J., abov
Fr. 10	
][•
]vµo[],e	[
]τιτο , ,	ı[
]ωφα.δ.	[
]αμφοτε	-
]ĸєθ	[
] covρ [
]ετεκ[
$\pi \epsilon \chi$	
]ċĸaµ[
]ταρερ	۱.
]_0Vl	Г
Jsaci	. L.
1,770	-
]^0.1	-
1.210	• •
1	L.

5

10

15

Fr. 10 2], a dot level with the top of the letters 3 After o traces in a rubbed place ending with what looks like the overhang of c 4 After a a rubbed place, but I am not sure that there is room for a letter, even ι [, an upright 6 After θ a rubbed place with a dot level with the top

loop of ϕ or the left-hand base angle of δ , followed by an upright a stroke from left against its left-hand side above the foot; ν or , the lower part of an upright], the upper left-hand are of a circle [, the left-hand side of ϵ or θ suggested 13 Before τ dispersed specks followed by a sharp loop suggesting a .[, the lower part of a stroke rising to right 17 Rubbed and the ink consequently disjointed. Prima facie the first three letters are as given, the next had a cross-stroke at the top], ar δ

Fr. 11 3 iepòv $\pi\tau[\alpha\lambda]$ ie $\theta\rho\sigma\nu$ (only at *Od.* i 2) can hardly be avoided. I do not know what can have preceded but ω . Though ω is not a very satisfactory interpretation of the ink, η is not possible.

6 ὅμμ[act: v. l. 16. ἀλλ' ὅτι seems likely to imply o]ὐδ' ὅτι in l. 5, in spite of what \hat{I} say in the app. crit.

8 ἀλλήλοις. εἶπομε[ν] may have preceded, but μ would have an unnatural look and ι is inordinately far from ε. I do not think έςπ- is an alternative.

11 $\Phi_{\ell}[\lambda]$ are acceptable; presumably the subject of the piece, since the author would be in the genitive. For persons with this name v. Gow-Page, *Hellenistic Epigrams*, Aeschrio.

12 of]8' στι as in 1. 14. The same beginning Anth. Pal. xii 148 (Callim.), v 175 (Meleag.), ix 577. 15 I suppose έπλεο να[.

 $16 \delta \theta \mu \alpha \pi a$: v. Pfeiffer on Callim. fr. 1, 37. The difference in spelling between this line and l. 6 may be a sign that the pieces are by different authors, and then that the manuscript is an anthology.

2886. Commentary on a Poem

A commentary on a composition of which the only line that can be approximately reconstructed with reasonable probability is a hexameter. There is nothing that I see unfavourable to the hypothesis that the whole consisted of hexameters. The subject of the part preserved by the commentator seems to be the apparel of a male person, shoes $((b) \ i \ 4-7)$, garment (7-11), ornaments? (12-17).

Commentaries are often written in wide columns. The only guess I can make at the original width of (b) i, based on the supplement proposed for l. 10, is that one third or rather more than one third of the column is lost. But what with the uncertainty about the exact form of the supplement and the irregularity of the copyist's writing, spacing, and use of contraction, not much reliance can be placed on this estimate.

As appears from (b) ii, lemmata (preceded by $\delta i\pi \lambda a \hat{i} \, d\beta \epsilon \lambda i c \mu \ell \nu a \iota)$ projected by one letter into the left-hand margin. It was presumably intended to articulate the text by stops and blanks (e.g. (b) i 5), but any such intention seems to have been carried out in a rather casual way. There is a number of blanks in places where they have no purpose and stops omitted where they might be expected.

It can hardly be supposed that the writing is that of a professional copyist. There is great variation in the shape of many of the letters— σ appears in at least seven distinct forms, ll. 2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 16—and in some places, where the ink is clear, a correct decipherment would be impossible apart from the sense (e.g. -c-, ll. 8, 9, $\tau \delta \epsilon$, l. 10). The decipherment is made precarious in many places, particularly on the stained left-hand side, in spite of the fact that truly cursive forms and ligatures are by no means freely employed. I should guess that the hand was to be dated in the second century. For what it is worth I note that ι adscript everywhere appears in its place, after ω as well as after η .



(b) Col. i

Col. ii

].[].[].[]. [
]cπροcποιουμοccęcω	δ[
]ορμα[]πρεςβυτηςπανταπεριτην	<u>]4</u>
]ύταικαιταίτωνελληνων φοιν ι	αξ[
5] χη· υποδηματα υπολιπαρηιcευα	λ [
]λιπαροιςποςιτοδεςημαινομον	$\tau[$
]. υποδεδεμοςην καιοιλεπταλε	0
]ωπ. νιςομενωι καιοι καιαυτωι	η .[
].υπανεμουδι εςειετο λωπηπερι	••[
10]πορευομενωιτοδεςημαινο	.p![
]ρενομίω[.]υπανεμουδιεςειετο	ν[
]υπορφυρεαςχρυςειωιαναπλι	η.[
] οςτουαριςτερουαγκωνοςπαρηο	çe [
].[].[.]λοκωιπλεγματι ιαсπιсιδος	aųaç[
15]ε. 4 litt. ταις παρηοριαιςτουλαιου	ιδαλι[
].ν[]a΄πλοκωιχρυςειωιτο	λιμ.[
]διηρτημωιτουιμαν	$\tau o \delta$
	Blank of 2 ll.	$\mu\eta$.[
]ιον[[

(a) Perhaps stood above (b), but I cannot follow the vertical fibres from the one into the other

I]., the base of a circle, followed by the lower part of a stroke rising to right having on the line to its right the foot of a stroke hooked to right, perhaps a separate letter [, the lower part of an upright 2]., the right-hand end of cross-stroke as of τ 3], the same 4 I am not sure that there was a letter between ρ and ς 5]., the right-hand end of a cross-stroke touching the top of the loop of a 6]., a trace on the line? I am not all sure that ι should not be taken for a split κ After ϕ the surface is damaged and I am not sure that there was a letter 7]., a trace above the general level, followed by what I suppose must be τ , though the stalk is strangely concave

(b) Col. i 4 10, what I have shown as an 'acute' looks to me too thick and upright for an accent and perhaps should be taken for a letter. There is no other accent f, there is no doubt about i, but I can-5]., the right-hand end not understand the superscript stroke, which elsewhere represents (ϵv) of a cross-stroke at mid letter and the upper end of a stroke rising from left, above it; neither ϵ nor κ 7]., a small circle on the line 9], a slightly satisfactory, though κ may not be ruled out 10 There is no doubt that -vouevov is intended, but I am not concave upright? o expected 11 The sign for $\epsilon \nu$ is displaced unusually far to right sure how it is in whole or part represented 12 After]v (of the left-hand stroke of which only faint traces) perhaps no more than πo , though I am 13]., a short thick upright, pernot sure whether this does not leave some ink not accounted for 14 Before λ dispersed traces, not certainly all of them haps with a short projection to right at top 16 Apparently written 15 Before τ dispersed traces, not certain how many of them ink ink with a thinner pen Above $a\pi$ a sinuous sign of which I do not know the meaning After π a speck level with the top of the letters, then traces which could be taken as opa, though an unexplained stroke rising from o to ρ makes me doubt this interpretation π before λ is not verifiable 17] ., a loop, too high for ρ , followed by the tops of two strokes compatible with ν or ν After δ a stroke that in another position would be taken for c, and then ink that could be combined as ωv After t a forwardsloping stroke followed by faint specks

(b) Col. ii 8 .[, the start of a stroke curling up to right 9 Perhaps $c\eta$ to Perhaps $\eta \rho \iota$ abnormally concave 12 .[, the top of a stroke with a hook to left 13 After ϵ perhaps τ or γ , followed by a trace below the line 14 For $\nu \kappa$ may be possible, for $a \lambda$ 18 .[, a slightly forward-sloping stroke

(b) Col. i 2 προςποιούμενος εεςω- .

4 I must suppose that there is error in the decipherment or in the text before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \, \mathcal{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu$. In respect of the decipherment $]\nu$ is differently made from the majority, but resembles the first in 1. 5, the third in 1. 11; the ι after $\kappa \tilde{\alpha}$ is cut off below, but I do not think can be taken for c like that in 1. 9; there appears to be something after the third ι (over which is the stroke reported), but it is not ink.

4 seqq. The inference from $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon$ $c\eta\mu auv \phi\mu evor \ldots \delta mo\delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon voc \hat{\eta} \nu$ is that the previous lines contain references to footwear of a particular sort. There are many sorts named after peoples or the like (v.Pollux vii 88 seqq.), but I can find none named after Phoenicians. ϕouv - may therefore be taken to refer to colour—there is a chance that ϕ [at 2166 (c) 2 i 6 schol. represents the same word in the same connection, like $\kappa \rho \kappa \delta \beta a \pi \tau \sigma (\epsilon \delta \mu a \rho u)$ Aesch. Pers. 660. $A a \kappa \omega \nu \kappa a \ell$ according to Pollux were red, $\epsilon \rho \upsilon \theta \rho a \ell$, $He \rho \upsilon \kappa \rho a \ell$, $\delta \mu \sigma \nu \sigma \ell$ white.

5 If $]c_{\chi\eta}$ could be read—but c would be abnormally made— $\mu ic_{\chi\eta}$ might be thought of. $\tau \delta \mu ic_{\chi0}$ is not in LSJ, but Hesychius has the entry $\mu ic_{\chi\eta}$ $\pi i\lambda\eta \mu ara$... 'felts'. $\pi i\lambda\eta\mu a$ is attested as a headcovering of felt (Callim. fr. 292, fr. 304), not as a footcovering, but Pollux adduces evidence to show that $\pi i\lambda oc$ was applicable to both (vii 171, x 50, quoting Cratin. $Ma\lambda\theta a\kappa ol$).

ὑπό λιπαρῆις can hardly be other than part of a lemma, resembling the Homeric ποcel δ' ὑπό λιπαροῖειν εδήςαπο καλὰ πέδιλα (II. ii 44, Od. ii 4, et al.), of which part of the paraphrase is ὑπὸ (or ὑπεδήςαπο)]λιπαροῖς ποcί. But I can make no guess at the feminine noun corresponding to πocί. I do not think it is conceivable that it is represented by ευα-, which I should guess to be an explanation of λιπαρῆις like τωνες ἀληλιμμένοις schol. II. xiv 186, ἢ ἀπνὶ τοῦ ἀληλιμμένος schol. Aristoph. Plut. 616.

7 seqq. καί οί λεπταλέ $|η \dots λώπη|$ νι τομένωι ' and as he walked his fine cloak ...' καί οἱ καὶ αὐτῶι: cf., c.g., schol. Pind. Dith. i 6 δι' ὄ οἱ δι' ὅ αὐτῶι. λεπταλέη ... λώπη: cf. Ap. Rhod. Argon. ii 30 seqq. φᾶρος λεπταλέον ..., λώπην.

λέπταλέη . . . λωπή: cl. Ap. Kholi. Argon. Ii 30 sequ. φάρο λέπταλέο λώπη: λώπη. περi[βόλαιον, as schol. Od. xiii 224, or περi[βλημa, as Hesych. in v.

νι ομένωι... πορευομένωι: cf. schol. Od. iv 701, schol. Argon. i 888, Hesych. in νει όμενος, νι όμενον, et al.

 $i\pi^* dv \ell \mu ov \delta i \epsilon c \epsilon \ell \epsilon r o \dots r \delta \delta \epsilon c \eta \mu a v \delta \mu e v ov \dots i \pi^* dv \ell \mu ov \delta i \epsilon c \epsilon \ell \epsilon r o : the missing part of the hexameter, which not improbably occupied the beginning of L 9, twice paraphrased as 'was being blown about by the wind' might have been something like <math>\pi v o i \eta c \epsilon^* \epsilon i v \epsilon c \epsilon r o (cf. Argon. ii 725 seq.)$. $\delta i a c \epsilon \ell \epsilon v \epsilon i s c \epsilon r o c f Argon. ii 725 seq.)$. $\delta i a c \epsilon \ell \epsilon v \epsilon i s c \epsilon r o c f Argon. ii 725 seq.)$

 $\beta\lambda\eta\mu a$ (or $\beta o\lambda a uov$) $\nu co\mu\epsilon\nu \omega i$] would fix within fairly close limits the amount lost on the left. The suggested supplement of the previous line is not objectionable on the score of length.

τό δέ σημαινόμενον cf., e.g., schol. Pind. Ol. viii 10 g το σημαινόμενον έστι τοιούτον, 60a το c. ούν έστι. 12 πορφυρέας χρυσείωι αναπλι- : part of lemma?

χρυτείων sc. πλόκωι χρυτείωι (l. 16). πλόκωι πλέγματι (l. 14), cf. Hesych. πλέκοτ πλέγμα.¹ 'Golden plait'; at Eur. Med. 786 πλόκου χρυτήλατου is a golden chaplet.

åναπλι-: no recorded Greek word begins so, but ἀναπλίςcωcι is a probable correction of ἀναπλήςcωcι at Arat. Phaen. 1108. ἀναπλί[ξ- is a theoretical possibility here. If the letters are to be articulated ἀνὰ πλι-, there is a limited number of words available, πλ(yμα, πλ(χαc, oblique cases of πλ(ξ), with uses derivedfrom the notion of 'spread', 'straddle' (διάςτημα). See schol. Od. vi 318, schol. Aristoph. Ach. 217, Et.Mag. 395, 11, and Pearson's note on Soph. fr. 596.

13 seq. $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ àpicrépou dynôuvoc mapyo|plaie, 15 seq. $\tau a \hat{c}$ mapyoplaie $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ daio \hat{v} | dynôuvoc. Some form of mapyoplai presumably occurred in the verse, the rest of the two phrases is evidently paraphrase or comment. The Homeric meaning 'side-traces' looks inapplicable, but as the word contains no specific mention of 'reins', it may perhaps be used of some other kind of rope or thong 'hanging from the left elbow'.

14 seq. l'acmic $\langle \epsilon \rangle$ l'doc | λ l'dov: cf. Hesych. l'ácmidoc ϵ l'doc λ l'dov $\langle \eta \rangle$ l'acmic.

16 seqq. From the place where it occurs I should guess that $\tau \sigma$ represents $\tau \delta \mid \delta \delta \epsilon c \eta \mu a \nu \delta \mu(\epsilon \nu) \sigma \nu$. If so, $\pi a \rho \eta \rho \tau \eta \mu(\epsilon \nu) \omega \mu$ is acceptable as having reference to $\pi a \rho \eta \rho \rho \ell a \mu$ in view of the similarity in usage of $\pi a \rho \eta \rho \tau \eta c \theta a$.

roo $i\mu dx | roc$ cannot be verified, $\mu a x$ being mere scribble, but it does not seem unsuitable to the context.

(b) Col. ii 15 seq. If it may be assumed from $\lambda_{l\mu}$ [that $\imath\delta_{a\lambda}\iota_{\mu}$ - stood in the previous line, a form of $\imath\delta_{a\lambda}\iota_{\mu\sigma}$ (Hesiod Op. 415) or $\langle \epsilon \rangle \imath\delta_{a\lambda}\iota_{\mu\sigma}$ (Od. xxiv 279, Anth. Pal. vii 491, 4) is likely. Otherwise, a form of $\imath\delta_{a\lambda}\iota_{\mu\sigma}$ may occur and there are remoter possibilities.

2887. Commentary on a Hymn?

The scraps of which the largest of the following pieces is made up have combined to form the upper twenty-nine lines of a column from which not more, in the worst cases, than three or four letters are lost at the sides. In spite of these relatively favourable conditions I have found it unusually difficult to follow the thread of the discourse or to guess at what is missing. To some degree this may be due to corruption, which is obviously severe in 11. 19 seqq. and I think must be postulated in 11. 23 seq., and may be present in places where it is not positively identified.

The text is plainly a commentary. It may be inferred from the reference to 'the poet' (l. 5) and the presence of verse forms (l. 1, ll. 3 seq., l. 25) that it was a commentary on a poem, which may very well have been in hexameters, though in l. 4 these are not recognizable without emendation, and neither they nor any other metre is obvious elsewhere. The subject is offerings of various kinds made (or to be made—I have no explanation of the contrast between tenses in l. 22 and l. 28), presumably, to judge by the expression $\lambda i \tau_0 \mu a a$ and the character of the objects, to some divine person, whose gender is perhaps revealed by $-\mu i [\nu] \eta c$ at ll. 14 seq.

^I I should say that $\pi\lambda[\epsilon\gamma\mu a, \text{ not } \pi\lambda]\epsilon\kappa\tau\omega\nu$, stood at 1604 fr. 2, 6 marg.

40

NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS

The text is written in a practised but informal round hand of medium size to be assigned to the early second century or even the end of the first. It has no lection signs and is not articulated in any way so far as I can tell, though in the absence of a good proportion of the left-hand margin the evidence about projection and indention has gone.

πρ]ώτος γάρ λίτομα[ι

πρ ώτος δε τωι ηκ

καις ερίφοιςινω

] εθαι εμφαίνειν ε

]ς ώραν ἀπὸ τῶν ὡ[-

ραίων ών προςφέρει αθ-

 $\tau \langle \rangle \iota]$. φηςίν δε και πρωτο

] ης κατά την άφι-

ην θυςίαν προςε-

v $\epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \hat{v}$ $[], [c \tau] \epsilon \phi a v \omega$

] ων άλλά και χρυςο ς

]ω τοι βόε παραςτήται

βόε δὲ δυϊκῶς, ὡς καὶ

παρ' 'Ομήρω· νειόν ἀν' ἕλ-

 $\kappa \tau \dot{o} \langle \nu \rangle$ ἄρο $(\tau \rho o \nu)$. καὶ κω

κητον βόε οινοπε πη-

cτη̂ca[ι] []γυνα[ικ

εςωοι το εύν [δ]ρχ[η

]καί ἀοιδηι. ἀπό[

ές πέρας μέχρι ήοῦς

γυναικών τοι χορόν

]αςτήςω μετ' ορχήςε-

ως] και ώιδης. είρηται τα

αύτοι άποκρης

ης φηςι εξε

] λομε-

vaico

]ιςί τε ρόδοιςι []]

ης ήλικίας τοῦ [π]οιητ[οῦ

έ]κ τούτων (έςτι) [ς]ημει

Fr. 1

] τοςναρλιτομα[ωτοςδετωιηκ καιςεριφοιςιν] ειτεροδοιει []]] εηλικιαετο []οιητ 5 κτουτων / ημε] εθαιεμφαινειν ε ζωραναποτων ω ωνωνπροςφερειαυ]φηςινδεκαιπρωτο [10] ηςκατατηναφι---[$\theta v c a v \rho o c \epsilon -$].γκειν.[]..[]εφανω vaico $] \lambda o \mu \epsilon - [$ $] \eta c \phi \eta c \iota \dots \xi . [$ 15] ωναλλακαιχρυςο ς] ςοιβο παραςτηςαι] οεδεδυικωςω και] ρομηρωνειλοναν []κλητονβεβοινοπεπ[20 κτοαρ^οκαικω $]c\tau\eta ca[],]vva[$]αυτοιαποκρης[εςωοι τοςυν]]καιαοιδηι απο 25 $\left[\right] \in pac\mu \in \chi pinovc$ γυναικωνεοιχοροναςτηςωμετορχηςε---καιωιδηιζειρηταιτα

. . .

2887. COMMENTARY ON A HYMN?

Fr. 1 There is a 'joint' at the right-hand side, almost coincident with the edge. From l. 11 on, the lines appear to be slightly shorter $1 \text{ Of } |\omega \text{ only the extreme right-hand curve}$ 2 , , on the 3 Of ω only the left-hand curve 4 Of 1 only the edge line a loop open to right; α or o or ω of the top ______, the lower part of a stroke rising to right (if v, no whole letter need be missing), followed by a short arc of the upper right-hand side of a circle, having a projection to right at about its middle and a dot to right of this, level with the top of the letters; possibly the central part of the top of τ a trace on the line, followed by a loop, open to right, on the under-layer; if separate letters, the second 5 Of *m* only the top and hooked foot of the right-hand stroke 6 Of a only perhaps a or ω 7], on the line the end of a stroke from left; a rather than v? the lower end below the line 10 , apparently the upper end of a stroke descending to right 11], the 8 lc anomalous 13 [, a heavy dot level with right-hand end of a cross-stroke touching η level with its cross-stroke the top of the letters], [, perhaps v, represented by the left-hand apex and the tip of the right-hand upright, followed by a dot to its right. But for ν I cannot rule out α 14 [, a speck level with the 15 See note 16]., top of the letters], the upper right-hand angle of v, or less probably η a trace about mid letter After o an upright descending below the line with a stroke ascending to right from its right-hand side about two thirds of the way up; not prima facie ι struck out ϵ looks as if it is illusory 18]..., traces on the line. β is completely 19 [, the lower part of a forward-sloping stroke, followed by had a low cross-stroke, but I believe ϵ is illusory unverifiable Of o only the base 22] [, on the line a dot, on both sides of which the surface is almost the top of a parallel stroke 24 After i an upright having to right two traces. completely destroyed γ is quite unverifiable one above the other; η or κ seems to be the only choice Before τ the top of a loop or blunt apex followed by the slightly concave upper part of an upright 26]. [, the top of a slightly convex stroke followed by the upper end of a stroke descending to right; not prima facie able to be combined in ϵ_{2} but, if separate letters, leaving too little room for $\epsilon c = \pi$ unverifiable

Fr. 1 1 $\pi\rho$] $\hat{\omega}\tau\sigma c$, guaranteed by l. 10, will obviously project further to left in l. 1 than in l. 2. As well as I can judge, the first reaches the alignment inferred from ll. 13, 19 seqq., the second falls short of it.

2 I can offer no account of $\tau \omega \iota$. Can $\tau o \iota$ be intended?

3 - raic apparently the end of a feminine adjective. Epidoc is nowhere else feminine.

3 seqq. $\omega_{\rho\alpha'\omega_{\ell}}$ looks likely, but I am uncertain how it should be articulated. $\omega|_{\rho\alpha_{\ell}\omega_{\ell}}|_{0}$ looks short for l. 3, rather long for l. 4; $\omega_{\rho\alpha'}|_{0}$ improbably short for l. 4.

Since the long datives must be supposed to come from verse, and specifically from the composition being commented on, it may be remarked that on such evidence as there is, l. 1, ll. 24 seq., it was in hexameters. The change of $-ct \tau \epsilon$ to $-c \tau \epsilon$ will produce the end and the beginning of hexameter verses.

5 seqq. $\tau_{j}\eta_{c}$ too short in 1. 5; $\epsilon_{j}^{*}\kappa$ too short in 1. 6, perhaps κa^{j} to be prefixed; $\epsilon\eta\mu\epsilon\iota|\omega\epsilon|ac\thetaa\iota$ looks suitable to 11. 6 seq., $\sigma_{j}\partial\epsilon\thetaa\iota$ being much too short; $\epsilon'_{\tau}|\tau\sigma\nu_{j}c$ not ruled out on the score of space in 1. 8.

L1. 6 seqq., if they are to be taken together, appear to say: from these (statements) one may infer that (the poet) indicates the season (of the year),? prime of the year, by the seasonable,? choice, offerings he brings to the addressee. But this construction leaves ll. 4 seq., '... of the poet's age', unaccounted for.

I can adduce no parallel to the infinitive, έμφαίνειν instead of ὅτι ἐμφαίνει.

ώρα έτους: Thuc. ii 52, vi 70, Dem. 1 23, al.; less commonly έτους ώρα: Plato Legg. 952 c, Plut. Perid. 10, 1.

13 seqq. $\beta o [\nu \lambda o \mu \epsilon' | \nu] \eta c$ looks hardly avoidable, and, if it is right, $c\tau] \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega | \theta \hat{\eta}] \nu a is a tolerable guess.$ 15 seq. $\lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa a$ suggests a preceding or $\mu \phi \nu o \nu$, and $\mu \rho \nu o \nu$ could be recognized, represented by the left-hand apex of μ and, after indeterminate traces, the feet of ν , the base of o, the foot of the left-hand and the whole of the right-hand stroke of ν . Before $\mu o \nu o \nu$ there are faint traces reconcilable with the bottom left-hand arc of o and the hook to right of the foot of ν , but $\phi \eta c \nu$ was not written nor would there be any room here for ν .

efe: the papyrus is darkened and broken, but I see no other interpretation of the ink as likely.

¹ Except in a late Cretan inscription (*Inscr. Cret.* 4, 260, ii/i B.C.). The original statement in LSJ, that it is feminine in Alcaeus, based, I suppose, on a confusion between Acolic and Attic $\kappa\alpha\lambda\eta\nu$, P.O. 1234 fr. 2 i 14, is withdrawn by implication in the Supplement.

17 $\delta or] \omega$ as, e.g., $\delta ou \omega \kappa \upsilon \beta \iota c \tau \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon Il$. xviii 604, Od. iv 18, perhaps not too long. But l. 18 perhaps implies that no figure was actually mentioned.

as [is anomalous in appearance and I should have expected $col_{1} \ldots cr'_{1}cw$ as in 11. 27 seq. (or alternatively $ubrow_{1}, -r\hat{\eta}l_{1} \ldots cr\hat{\eta}cal$, if that is to be elicited from 11. 21 seq.). But though the surface is darkened and disturbed, I do not think ω [is a possible decipherment.

18 δυϊκώς 'in the dual', schol. Pind. Ol. ii 158d.

19 seqq. Od. xiii 32.

21 seq., 26 seq. κώ[μον..., γυνα[ικῶν? For κώμος simply 'a body of persons' cf., e.g., όμηλίκων κώμους Eur. Tro. 1183 seq. (on which LSJ says 'of maidens'!), and this meaning would be required, if the same verb were used as in respect of βόε, 'present to, make over to, place at the disposal of'. But the verb regularly accompanying χορόν is the uncompounded *icrávaa*, e.g. Aristoph. *Nub*. 271 χορόν *icrare N*ύμφαις (v. Blaydes's collections there), to which the corresponding noun is κατάςταεις. Aesch. Agam. 23, Aristoph. *Thesm*. 958, and though I cannot adduce an example of *icrávau* κώμων or of καθιστάναι χορόν, I remain in doubt whether παρα]crήca[ι], ll. 21 seq., or παρ]acrή_cα, l. 28, is to be preferred to, say, ψηcl crή_cαu and καταcrή_cω.

23 seqq. Of the words from $a\dot{v}roi$ to roi I can give no account. They might be expected to correspond at least in part to $\dot{a}r\dot{\sigma}$... $\dot{\epsilon}ration factor factor factor for the make-up of a chorus; cf. schol. Aristoph. Thesm. 312 <math>\delta$ xop \dot{c} $\dot{a}r\dot{\sigma}$ yuvaix $\hat{\omega}v \Theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \phi \phi \rho_{ia}$ Zouc $\dot{\omega}v$. Cretan women dancing round an altar, anon. ap. Heph. Ench. xi 3 and 5.

Apparently a promise of a παννυχίε. παννυχίδα ετής ειν Hdt. iv 76.

δρχηθμωι και ἀοιδήι Theogn, 791, h. Hom. iii 149, Hes. Scut. 282, δρχηστυί και ἀοιδήι Od. viii 253, xvii 605 (h. Hom. iii ap. Thuc. iii 104, 4).



Frr. 2 and 3 look as if they might come from the same neighbourhood as fr. 1.

Fr. 4 consists of two scraps of which the relative levels are fixed by cross-fibres, but there is no external evidence about their interval.

2888. COMMENTARY ON THE Odyssey

The commonly found kind of commentary proceeds more or less systematically^I through the text commented on. The distance between the first and second of the passages discussed in the following piece argues that its scope was different. It may be suggested that the selection made of points for discussion and the discussion itself are what might be expected to characterize a product of the school of Krates. In col. i an apparent $dnpe\piec$ is resolved by reference to motive, in col. ii a problem of Homeric astronomy, in col. iii a problem of Homeric geography is dealt with. But I am bound to remark that, though in col. iii Krates' view about the scene of Odysseus' wanderings in general is accepted and his explanation of a particular point appears to be defended against criticism, in col. ii this commentator rejects by implication—whether expressly or not I cannot be sure—an alteration of the Homeric text which Krates wished to make.

Apart from this possibility that it is a representative of Pergamene rather than Alexandrian scholarship, **2888** is of no great interest and I could have wished that a collection of fragments which fitted together almost without residue had contained a text of more value.

The text, written without lection signs of any sort in lines of about 20 letters, is articulated by cross-heads (i 26, lost), paragraphi (ii 13), and *diplae cum paragrapho* (ii 39). The hand must, I suppose, be considered to be related to the common angular type, but it is an uncommon variant of it. I should compare it with 232, which the editors give reason for dating before the middle of the 3rd century and think may be assigned to the second half of the 2nd.

¹ P. Amh. 12, Aristarchus, Commentary on Hdt, i, has no notes on chs. 195–214. I do not know the explanation of this neglect and since in papyrus MSS. we are always dealing with disjointed fragments we cannot be certain that similar instances did not occur elsewhere. Nevertheless the method adopted in the parts preserved implies that such commentaries aimed at the continuous elucidation of the text commented on.

43

NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS

45

		Fr.(a)			Fr. (<i>a</i>)	
C	ol. i	Col. ii	Col. iii	Col. i	Col. ii	Col. iii
5]ην[10], ια].ουτα].ουτα]ναν]]] []νκα[] []υγτης ναυτον ακευην β]].[]]].ενγα[]] τονωρί[]]. αμμορ[]]κεα νουαπ[]μβεβηκε μονηγ.[].λλωνα.τρων τετραπ[]ονωριωνα κα[]υcατηι τουτουκ[]ςυμπεριφε ρεται.[].ηθες γελοι	$ \begin{array}{c} \tau \eta \nu \gamma a. [\\ \lambda \nu \psi \omega \delta \iota [\\ \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon [\\ \rho o c \epsilon \chi o v] \\ \epsilon \nu \pi [\\ \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \tau . [\\ \mu \epsilon r o v [\\ \nu \eta c o c \ddot{\upsilon} \pi []. [\\ \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota a []. a \nu \tau \eta c \tau [\\ \delta \upsilon c c \epsilon a] . \epsilon [[\pi]] o \nu \tau [\\ a \rho \kappa \tau o v [] \rho a \nu \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota [\\ \delta . \pi o \tau . [] \pi \rho o c \tau [\\ \kappa a \theta \pi \mu [] \nu \mu \epsilon \nu [\end{array} $	5]ην[]] [0],ια.[.]ν κα[]]]ς οδυ [ό] π[ο]ιητής]τουτον αὐτὸν]ν ἀνακευὴν]]μεν γα[] τον γα[τον γΩρί[ωνα]δ' ἄμμορ[ο ὦ]κεα- νοῦ απ[cυ]μβέβηκε. μόνη γὰ[ρ τῶν] ἄλλων ἄcτρων τέτραπ[ται προς τ]όν 'Ωρίωνα καὶ τοῦττ[ο]υςα τῆι τούτου κ[ινήςει]]ςυμπεριφέ- ρεται. τ[οῦτο δ' ε]ξηθες. γελοῖ-] τὴν γὰρ [δή μιν ἄνωγε Κα-] λυψώ, δῖ[α θεάων, ποντοπο- ρευέμε[ναι ἐπ' ἀριςτερὰ χει- ρὸς ἔχον[τα ἐν Η π[Κράτητο[ς μενον[νῆςος ὑπ[]],[κεῖται ἀ[πὸ]]ταύτης τ[ὸν 'Ο- δυςcέα[π]λέοντ[α τὴν ἄρκτον [ἀριςτε]ρὰν ἔχει[ν - δέποτ' ἀ[]πρὸς τ[ὴν καθ' ἡμ[ῶς καλο]υμένη[ν
].uc 15]7!]¢u].u].]v	ηγαγε επι ηϊδοθεαεν 7 τωιπατριςω μ τουμενελα 7 η ρητεονδε 7	[.]αρ [.]νδετ[]τιφων καθαπ[].οπροςταγματος τουςκα[]εταγραφο.[τ.[.].ξε.[]ταεκπ[θαλαςςα[],ποιτις.[τηνφ.[]ποτηςδυ[επιτ.[]ληνκα[]γουκυκλου[]] τοδεκαιςελ]], ιςήγαγε, έπι 15]τι ή Είδοθέα έν]ει τῶι πατρὶ τω-],ι τὸν Μενέλα- ον]νη, βητέον δὲ ὅτι]ν αὐτῶι βουλομέ-	[ο]ν γὰρ τ[], ἐκ πάντω[ν ,] ἀντιγράφων καθάπ[ερ ἀ]πὸ προςτάγματος τοὺς κα[]εταγράφον- τ.[.].ξε.[]τα ἐκπ[ειδε[]ουμε [θάλαεσα[ν], ποι τις.[την φξ[ρ ά]πο τῆς δύ[ςεως ἐπὶ τὴ[ν ἀνατο]λὴν κα[]νου κυκλου[]ντο δὲ καὶ ζέλ[ευ-
]νο 20 .].πεου].ονcεμν]υτεπρο Ινεβιι	ιυτωιβουλομε ε ηζαικαιφημης . ηζτυχειντο . ιξέναποτουθη [ΓΕ	$ \begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \iota \delta_{.} [&] o \nu \mu \epsilon_{.} [\\ [&] \eta [\\ [.] [&] [.] . \iota \lambda_{.} [\\] [&] [&] \nu \nu \mu a] \\ & \exists \tau \omega \nu \mu a [\\ & \vdots \tau \omega \nu a] \end{aligned} $], ωνκρατητ[]τοπουcavacκ[]νοουcινδοτι[]αλυψωταχειον[20 ν]η περιποιήζαι καὶ φήμης αὐ]τὸν cεμνής τυχεῖν τὸ τοιο]ῦτ᾽ ἔπραξεν ἀπὸ τοῦ θη- [ριωδο]ῦς βίου ἀποτρέπουςα[[],η[],],λ.[],τωνα[]]ω,[]]	κοι]γων Πρατητί]τόπους ἀναςκ[ευ-]νοοῦςιν δ' ὅτι[ή Κ]αλυψώ τάχιον[]πεςεῖν τοῖς κ[α-
25]πεςεειντοιςκ[]ετεραψοικουμ[] νηντοιςιναπροςεν[χθειςημεροιςτοποιςκ[υπανθρωπωνκατοικο[μενοιςουκαγριωνουδε[]ι αὐτὸν βου- [25 λ]εω [] [], τῆς ἄρκτου [].[.]φη [μ]ὴ δύ- [].ικαι[ἐπὶ Θ]ήβας].μων cτ]ρατευ-]Ά[δ]ραςτος Πο]λυ[νείκης Καπ]ανεύς Άμ-	τὰ τὴν ἡμ]ετέραν οἰκουμ[έ- νην τόποις, ἵνα προcεν[ε- χθεὶς ἡμέροις τόποις κ[αὶ ὑπ' ἀνθρώπων κατοικο[υ- μένοις οὐκ ἀγρίων οὐδὲ [θη- ριωδῶν παρ' αὐτὴν πλέω[ν
30]ηδυ [.]μη [].]τα []π].κτι []τ]εται λ	.]λ.[]ανευςαμ [.]αρ[]ςπαρθενο ταιοςκα.[.].δ.[].ονοςα. τωλοςουκ[.].υπελα[.].ανε αεγειν.[]ουμονοντων	ριωδώνπαραυτηνπλεώ[καιτροφηςευπορώνκ.[τωνπαραπεμψοντων.[φικ.[]ιπροςτονκαθηρ.[κλειωνςτηλωνδιεκπλου]	30 εεθαι]μη]τα α]ρκτι- κ]εται]κοα.	$ \phi[i]$ dρ[aoc] c Παρθενο- παίος καὶ [T]υδε[νς] μόνος Al- τωλός, οὐκ [α]ν ὑπελά[μ]βανε λέγειν α[ὐτ]ὸν μόνον τῶν ὄντουν ἀ[ν]θριήπους Αἰτωλὸν	καὶ τροφῆς εὐπορῶν κα[ὶ τῶν παραπεμψόντων ἀ- φίκη[τα]ι πρὸς τὸν καθ' 'Ηρα- κλείων cτηλῶν διέκπλου[ν καὶ οῦτως εἰς ἐπωινωςκό-
35]κρα. ο].[] τ] ρ.] τ.] ει] μ	νντωνα[_]θρωπωναιτωλον οντυδε[.].λλατωνκατα ιιθμημ[]ωνμονοναι ωλονου [.]καιτηναρκτον ντοιςειρημενοιςαςτροις ιονηνμη υ.ςθαι	καιουτωςειςεπιγινωςκο[μενοντοπονελθ. νποι[ηςηταιτηνειςοικοναπαλ[λαγηνςυμβουλευειουναυ[τωιλοξονποιηςαςθαιτον[πλουνουτωγαραρι, [, .].αν[35].[]]]]]	τὸν Τυδέ[a] ἀλλὰ τῶν κατη- ριθμημ[έν]ων μόνον Αἰ- τωλόν, οὔτ[ω] καὶ τὴν ἄρκτον ἐν τοῖc εἰρημένοιc ἄcτροιc μόνην μὴ δύεςθαι .	μενον τόπον έλθών ποι- ήςηται τήν εἰς οἶκον ἀπαλ- λαγήν, cυμβουλείει οδν αὐ- τῶι λοξὸν ποιήςαςθαι τὸν πλοῦν, οὖτω γὰρ ἀρις[τε]ρὰν ἕξει τὴν ἄρκτον λα[
40	>		εξειτηναρκτονλα[- / -/

Fr. (a) Col. i 14]e perhaps possible, no letter verifiable 17]., a trace compatible with the top of the loop of ρ 24 There may be the remains of 3 letters before the first ι , of which the last appears to be τ 27]., if only one letter represented, it will be v, but] ρ_t is compatible with the remains

Col. ii 15τ] $\omega \nu$ cannot be verified 17]., the right-hand side of ν or ω followed, after a gap, by a dot that might belong to the right-hand side of μ but in no way suggests it 18 τ . [, the tip of a letter, ϵ rather than a suggested ϵ .], the start of a stroke rising to right, λ or possibly a 20 For

... [perhaps .[,],.; of the first letter an apex as of δ or μ , after which at an interval ink compatible with the right-hand end of the cross-stroke of τ and the upper left-hand parts of a The interlinear letter, which is damaged, now suggests v or χ The traces before η [are compatible with $v\tau$ 26], traces compatible with an upright, at more than the normal interval from μ

Fr. (b) This morsel certainly stands vertically above $c\kappa a$, fr. (a) ii 31, but I cannot trace the crossfibres and consequently cannot fix its level 1 [, the lower part of a stroke descending below the line 2 [, a dot on the line

Fr. (a) Col. iii 5 If η is rightly recognized, it is distinguished by being written larger than the other letters 8],[, the tail of a stroke descending below the line 14], a trace compatible with the upper part of ι .[, the start of a stroke rising to right

Fr. (a) Col. i 13 ἀναcκευήν: here probably 'refutation', cf. iii 20.

14 seqq. I believe that the point of these lines is to be deduced from the following ancient comments: Eust. 1501, 1 (and similarly schol. Od. iv 388) ότι προδιδοῦca ἐπίτηδες ή... Εἰδοθέα τὸν πατέρα Πρωτέα, Γυα γυωςτὸς διὰ Μενελάου πολλοῖς γέτηται, λέγει κτλ.; ibid. 20 ἐπὶ δὲ τούτοις ἡ Εἰδοθέα ὡφελῆcaι προθεμένη τὸν Μενελαον ἐπὶ τιμῆι καὶ τοῦ πατρὸς ὑποτίθηςιν ὅπως λοχηθήςεται ὁ Πρωτεύς; ibid. 50 ὅτι μηχανωμένη... τὰ πρὸς κλέος τοῦ πατρὸς ἡ Εἰδοθέα... ὑποτίθεται... λόχον τοῦ γέροντος, εὐκλεῶς προδιδοῦςα τὸν τεκόντα τῶι... Μενελάωι; schol. Od. iv 437 τὸν δόλον ἐνταῦθα αἰτιον τιμῆς μεγίςτης νοητέον τῶι Πρωτεῖ παρὰ τῆς θυγατρὸς Εἰδοθέης.

If so, in II. 14-18 objection is taken to Eidothea's betrayal of her father in order to save Menelaus and his companions. In II. 18-25 the criticism is answered by saying that she acted from a desire to make him (i.e. Proteus) honoured and famous and to rescue him from his life among the beasts of the sea.

All this refers to Od. iv 398 seqq., the remainder of col. i and all coll. ii-iii to Od. v 275 seqq.

Col. i 27 seqq. and col. ii Why Homer says that the Bear is the only star which does not set.

The solution of this $d\pi o\rho la$ found in the lower part of col. ii, namely, that 'only' means 'the only one of the stars mentioned', is also found (without the parallel) in the Iliad scholia where the verse recurs (xviii 489). The alternative explanation in the upper part of the column, which is qualified as 'silly' and 'ridiculous', I cannot recognize. It appears to turn on a question of reading. The only variant readings I find are $\dot{\eta} \delta^* d\mu \mu o \rho o (Apoll. soph. lex. Hom. in <math>d\mu \mu o \rho o \gamma$, ? cf. schol. B in Il. xviii $489 \kappa dv \delta u a \rho \eta \tau a \delta e tra \dot{\eta} \delta^* d\mu \mu o \rho o \kappa \tau \lambda$) and olic $\delta^* d\mu \mu o \rho o (Strab. 3)$, both attributed to Krates. Taken strictly Il. 9 seq. might be thought to imply: oly $\delta \delta^* d\mu \mu o \rho o \kappa \tau \lambda$.

6 seqq. Cf. Od. v 274 seq. The words of Apollonius l.c. ΐνα τὸ cuμβεβηκὸc aὐτῆι καὶ ἑτέροις τῶν ἄςτρων ἀκούηται suggests the supplement ἄπ[αςιν.

11 τοῦτ[ον ἐπιτηρο]ῦca, from the corresponding Iliad scholia, seems short. Perhaps προςβλέπουςa. 13 seqq. The best guess I can make is: 'It is ridiculous to suppose that independent correctors should, as if at a word of command, remove' something 'from all the MSS.'; but I am dubious about]¿ἐcλ[εἶν so used and I cannot suggest the circumstances to which such a remark would be relevant.

For the type of argument cf. schol. Od. is 60 aromov yap . . . δc and row emirate volume of a scholar contract and the scholar contract and th

The lists of the leaders of the expedition against Thebes vary both in the names and their number, but none, so far as I know, omits Hippomedon who is missing from this one. Nor do any of the extant lists make the point that Tydeus is the only Aetolian. I am not sure, in view of $\partial i\kappa \, \delta r \, \delta m \epsilon \lambda \delta \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon$, whether we ought not to suppose the example concocted by the commentator.

30 Ἐτέοκλο]: (Aesch., Soph., Eur. Suppl.), Μηκιστεύ]c (Apollod. Bibl. iii 63), Άλιθέρςη]c (Paus. 10, 3)—I am not ready to decide between these on the ground of spacing.

Col. iii 1 seqq. Od. v 276 seq. The discussion evidently turns on Kalypso's instruction to Odysseus to keep the Bear on his left. If, as I think probable, 21 seqq. mean 'they fail to recognize that Kalypso's

advice was intended to bring Odysseus as quickly as possible into civilized regions', this might be the commentator's reply to a criticism that the prescribed course was not his direct way home. But there are too many uncertainties about 4 seqq. for me to say that I can verify this inference.

5 'In (Ódyssey) vii'.

 \tilde{B} seq. $v\eta coc \dot{v}\pi [$], [$|\kappa\epsilon i\tau a\iota$: presumably a statement about the location of Kalypso's island. Homer makes Odysseus say of it, Od. vii 244, $v\eta coc \dot{a}\pi d\tau po \theta e^{-\dot{e}iv} \dot{a}\lambda^i$ weitrau. I have considered the possibility of a variant here (though none is recorded) but, apart from $\dot{v}\pi [$ for $d\pi [$, $\epsilon iv \dot{a}\lambda i$ is incompatible with the trace towards the end of the line, which might be the lower part of ρ , τ , v, ϕ , ψ , but not ι .

I seqq. This looks to me very much like an assertion that Odysseus 'would never have reached the Mediterranean' that is, would not, if he had started from a point as located and followed a course as described in the preceding. If this inference is correct, it would be natural to draw the further conclusion that two views are represented in 11. 4 seqq. (of which one would appear to be that of Krates), namely, a view that Kalypso's island was to be located in such and such a place and the view of an objector that a person sailing from that place and keeping the Bear on his left would not come to the Mediterranean.

14 ε]ίποι τις å[ν looks likely, but I can come to no settled opinion about the two or three letters required between it and θάλαςca[ν,

17 'Of a meridian'? $\mu \epsilon c \eta \mu \beta \rho i$ seems about the right length.

18 seq. το ύτο or κατά τὸ α ὐτό?

I should guess that Seleucus the astronomer (of Babylon or Seleukeia) was meant and that $]\gamma\omega\nu$ was $\dot{\omega}\tau\lambda\dot{e}]\gamma\omega\nu$; cf. Stob. i 253 W. Σ . $\dot{\omega}$ $\mu a \partial \eta \mu a \tau u \dot{\delta} c$ $\dot{\alpha}\tau u \gamma e \gamma p a \dot{\phi} \dot{\omega} K \rho \dot{\alpha} \eta \tau \eta \tau$. But I do not know enough to exclude Seleucus the Homeric scholar (of Emesa) and $\dot{\phi} \mu o \dot{\delta} \rho \dot{\lambda} \partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$. If the second Seleucus was mentioned the date of composition of this commentary could not be earlier than about the middle of the first century A.D. Otherwise it might be as early as the second B.C.

20 Perhaps cf. Strabo 26, 'demolish the arguments for such and such a location'.

21 I should guess: $d\gamma] voo \hat{v}cv$ (hardly $\epsilon v] voo \hat{v}cv$) δ' or $\delta v \delta \epsilon \tau a i \int Ka \delta v \psi \hat{\omega} \tau a \chi v v a \dot{\tau} dv \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{v} v \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{v}$, a reply to critics of Krates, of which the point would be that Kalypso recommends not the shortest route but the one that soonest brings Odysseus into regions where he would be forwarded. Possibly $\lambda_0 \xi \delta v$, 1. 38, 'obliquely', i.e. from north-west to south-east, has some reference to $d\pi \partial \tau \eta c \delta v \epsilon \omega \epsilon \epsilon \pi i \tau \eta v \delta v a \tau o \lambda \eta' v H.$ 15 seq, directly west to east.

32 It appears to be common ground to the commentator and the persons he quotes that the $\pi\lambda\dot{a}\eta$ took place in the outer sea, a view known from elsewhere (A. Gell. xiv 6, 3) to have been championed by Krates, not in the waters round Italy and Sicily.

Corrigendum

Another scrap has been fitted into col. ii which gives ll. 10-14 the following appearance:

τετραπ[...]...[..]ονωριωνα κα.....[..]α.[]υcατηι τουτουκ[...].c..cυμπεριφε ρεται .[...].δε.ηθες γελοι [.]αρ [.]νδετ[....].λαμ.[..]...εκ τέτραπ[ται] πρό[ε τ]όν 'Ωρίωνα καὶ τοῦτ[ον] ἀπ[οβλέπο]υεα τῆι τούτου κ[ιν]ήεει ευμπεριφέρεται. τ[οῦτ]ο δ' εὕηθες. γελοῖ-

[ο]ν γάρ τ[ο ύπο]λαμβ[άν]ειν έκ

2889. AESCHINES SOCRATICUS, Miltiades

Even without the confirmation supplied by the quotation of ll. 4 seqq. it would have been a likely conjecture that the following scrap preserved the beginning of the Socratic dialogue attributed to Aeschines entitled $M\iota\lambda\tau\iota\delta\eta c$. From it we learn the

occasion, the place, and the persons of the discussion, of whom two, Miltiades and Euripides,-or three, if eyú meaning Socrates is counted-reappear in 2890 and, I should say, identify that piece.

The hand is a good-sized example of the angular type, comparable with 1364, similarly written in narrow columns, and to be dated early in the third century. There are a few high stops but no other addition to the bare letters.

кaì

	<i>ετυ</i> γχανενμ[<i>ἐτύγχανεν</i> μ[ἐν
	. caπομπητω[οὗςα πομπὴ τῶ[ν
	μεγαλωνπαν[μεγάλων Παν[α-
	ηναιων εκα[θηναίων, ϵ κα $[\theta \eta$ -
5	μεθαδεεντη [μεθα δὲ ἐν τῆ ς[το-
	αι τουδιοςτουε.[âι τοῦ Διὸς τοῦ Ἐ-
]υθεριουεγωκ[λε]υθερίου έγὼ κ[ai
	γνωνοθηραμ	Άγνων δ Θηραμ[έ-
	νουςπατηρκα[νους πατήρ κα[ι
10	ευριπιδηςοπο[Εὐριπίδης ὁ πο[η-
	της παρηλ[τής, παρηλ[θε δ'
	ουνπαραυτου	οὖν παρ' αὐτοὺ[c
	ημαςς.[ήμâc ε.[
	λτιαδης[Μι]λτιάδης[
15	τηδες κ	ἐ πί]τηδες, κ[κτλ.
	$]\omega\nu$.[
]cvia.[
].[].[

To the left of the column there are about two inches of papyrus blank except for a thick stroke opposite ll. 7 seq., which may be intended as an embellishment of a title. However that may be, this is obviously the beginning of a work $10 \pi o \eta$ looks as if it suited the length better than $\pi o i \eta$ 13 I am not sure that $\mathfrak{e}[.]$ [should not be written; [is the foot of an upright turning slightly to right, 17 Of c only the overhang, perhaps ϵ [, the start of a stroke rising to right 18]., e.g. €. ¢ a cross-stroke as of τ [, an upright perhaps preceded by traces of a diagonal

1 seqq. ἐτύγχανεν μέν... έγώ και ...: cf. [Platon.] Ἐρυζίας init. ἐτυγχάνομεν περιπατοῦντες ἐν τῆι ςτοᾶι τοῦ Διὸς τοῦ Ἐλευθερίου ἐγώ τε καὶ . . .

2 seqq. πομπή των μεγάλων Παναθηναίων: v. L. Deubner, Att. Feste, p. 22.

[P.-W. in Panathenaia sp. 458 mistaken in stating that the order of words τ . μ . II. is post-Christian.] 4 seqq. exabijueda de ev the croat, quoted from Aeschines by Priscian, fr. 16 Kr. Cf. fr. 15 Kr. and Isocr. Panathenaicus (12) 17. For this building v. Pausanias, Hitzig-Bluemner i 140.

7 eyw: sc. Socrates.

8 seq. Άγνων δ Θηραμένους πατήρ: v. Kirchner, Prosop. Att. no. 171.

11 seqq. 'Passed close by us', cf., e.g., παρέπλεον ... παρ' αὐτὴν τὴν γῆν Thuc. viii 104.

14 Μιλτιάδης: according to the quotation preserved in Stobaeus (fr. 11 Kr.) ο Στηςαγόρου, not otherwise known.

2890. AESCHINES SOCRATICUS, Miltiades

The piece of papyrus, on both sides of which the following text is written, is presumably one leaf of a conjugate pair from a codex. I think it probable that the edge from which its missing fellow must be supposed to have broken off is the right-hand edge of the 'front'. If so, the 'back' was the recto and the 'front' the verso in the book. There is nothing to show whether the page contained more than one column.

The characters taking part in the dialogue appear, though not quite unambiguously, to be Socrates, Euripides, and Miltiades (Back 5 seq.), three of the four participants in **2889**, which may reasonably be thought to be the beginning of Aeschines' $Mi\lambda \tau i \alpha \delta n c$. It is natural to infer that 2890 is subsequent passages of the same.

The hand is a poorish example of the common angular type dated from about the middle of the second to the end of the third century, though this seems early for a codex.

There are a few high stops and a single sign of elision, the blank spaces appear to have no significance.

Back		
]φεληςουςινους		ώ]φελήςουςιν οὐ
]δεινονεφηνεγω]δεινόν, ἔφην ἐγώ,
]πορουμενεκει		ἀ]ποροῦμεν, ἐκεῖ-
]ουκαπορηςει θαυ	νος δέ]οὐκ ἀπορήςει. θαυ-
]νηρομηνευρειπι	μα]ν ἠρόμην Εὐριπί-
].τωξυνωνανμιλ	$\delta \eta \nu$]ότω ξυνών ἂν Μιλ-
]αβουλευοιτοοπωςχρη	τιάδης μά	λιςτ]α βουλεύοιτο ὄπως χρὴ
]ποιειν ε [] νανμοιλε]ποιείν, ε.[].ν ἄν μοι λε-
]ςςκυτοτομ_ις ηστωαν	$ au o \hat{\iota}] c$	ς εκυτοτόμοις, η ότω αν
] , εταβουλευοιτοοπως ?	μάλ]	ιςτα βουλεύοιτο ὅπως
]νοικοδομεινκαιτουτ'ει]	ν οἰκοδομεῖν καὶ τοῦτ' εἰ
]εινοτιτοιςτεκτοςιννυ]•	ειν, ὅτι τοῖς τέκτοςιν, νῦψ[κτλ.
].[

Back 8 [, the lower part of a slightly forward-sloping stroke], at mid letter part of a slightly forward sloping stroke 9 After ν traces level with the top of the letters 12 [, the tops of strokes compatible with ν

On the assumption that l. 7 is completed by the supplements, forwards and backwards, suggested by the parts of words which survive, it is necessary to postulate lines of plus or minus thirty letters

Back 2 'The devil is in it, said I', or a negative might precede and the sentence be either affirmative or interrogative.

έγώ: Socrates speaking.

5

10

3 seq. el $\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{i}c\ \mu\epsilon^{\mu}$... $d\eta\sigma\rhoo\hat{v}\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\epsilon\kappa\hat{i}[\nu oc\ \delta\epsilon$...] $o\dot{v}\kappa\ d\pi op\eta\dot{c}\epsilon i$. In Plato and elsewhere $d\pi op\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$ is very commonly used absolutely, 'be at a loss', but it also has a usage with a genitive, 'be short of',

R

and in view of the necessity to find about five more letters for I. 3 and about ten for I. 4 this alternative must be contemplated here.

4 seqq. Perhaps, 'it is surprising, that if I had asked Euripides ...', εἰ μέ]ν ἡρόμην, with δέ after νῦν, 1, 12?

6 seq. ὅτψ μάλιςτα, 'with whom, exactly'. ὅπως χρή 'properly'.

8 ύποδήματα] ποιείν: cf. Plato Republic ii 372 a.

8 seq. The sense must be 'he would reply' or 'have replied', but I cannot plausibly recover the form of words.

9, ότι τοί ε εκυτοτόμοιε.

The ink after av does not suggest the 'filler', of which there is a clear specimen in l. 1 (and a scarcely recognizable one in l. 10), nor can it represent two letters, say ξv , though $\xi vv\omega v$ in full might make l. 10 project.

10 seq. $\delta \pi \omega c \mid \chi p \eta$ olkía] v olkodoµ $\epsilon i v$: cf. Republic l.c.

Front

	1.10110	
	οικοθενειςιντ [οικοθεν είςιν τε[πε-
	πλευκαεινουκ	πλεύκαςιν οὐκ[
	ϊνατηντεελλα [ίνα τήν τε Έλλαδ[
	ειτιςεςτινανηρ[εΐ τις ἐςτιν ἀνὴρ [
5	μενοςεντοιςελλ[μενος ἐν τοῖς Ἐλλ[
	μαλιςτατοεκειν [μάλιςτα τὸ ἐκείνῳ
	τα απεκρειναμ.[ται. ἀπεκρινάμη[ν
	οτιουθαυμαζοιμι	ότι οὐ θαυμάζοιμι [
	τωςοφ τα ωτωνελ[τῷ coφωτάτῳ τῶν Ἑλ[λήνων
10	παιδευςαιανθρωπον[παιδεῦςαι ἄνθρωπον [
	c υγγενεςθαι·αλλακαι.[<i>сυγγενέ</i> сθαι, ἀλλὰ καὶ .[
	μηνοτ καιπλουντοςο [μην ὄτι καὶ πλοῦν τοςοῷ[τον
][]оνтηλικ[].ς.[]ιον τηλικ[ου-

Front I ϵ_1^c is at the edge. I cannot quite rule out o 3 Of δ_1^c only the extreme tip of the left-hand base angle 6 Of ω_1^c only the left-hand curve 11 ϵ_1 , γ or π 13 ϵ_2 , off the line the start of a stroke rising to right]..., the apex of a triangular letter, the left-hand end of a cross-stroke, the tip of a stroke hooked over to right

On the same assumption of a plus or minus thirty-letter line as made for the other side, about half of each line is lost (a little less at the bottom), so that there is no prospect of recovering the words of the text, though from place to place it seems that a reasonable guess may be made at the sense

Front I seq. πεπλεύκαιν taken up by the second speaker, ll. 11 seqq. 'They have come overseas... (I approve of their having taken) the journey long as it was, and ... so great a ...'

 $\frac{3}{3}$ τήν τε Έλλάδα followed by a verb in the subjunctive and καl seems to be the minimum indicated. 4 seq. I suppose something like 'distinguished for his wisdom among the Greeks', ἀνήρ ἐπὶ coφίαι...

-όμενος (-μένος) $\dot{\epsilon}$ ν τοῖς Έλληςι. 7 seq. (I answered that I saw nothing strange (in a man wishing) to associate with the wisest of the

/ scql. I also that i saw to be the second
11 seq. άλλà καί . . . '(I was not surprised) . . . but went further and (thought it sensible, praiseworthy, or the like) . . . , v. 1 seq.

2891. PHILAENIS, π. ἀφροδιείων

Among authors of obscene books named in Greek literature Philaenis is probably the one who most often recurs. She was credited, rightly or wrongly, with a work describing $c_{\chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau a}$ coroccactura, of which we must suppose we have the beginning in the first of the fragments printed below. From these it is possible to infer that the book was a systematic exposition of ars amatoria, starting with methods of approach, fr. I ii, and proceeding by way of a section on flattery, of which the opening is lost, followed by a section on kisses, of which nothing but the heading remains, fr. 3 ii. The treatment appears to have been summary and matter of fact. Lucian (*Pseudol.* 24) implies that her vocabulary was marked by rare or invented words. $\xi \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma oc$, fr. I ii 5, cannot be considered one of these, though it seems to be used in a sense not found elsewhere, but $\psi ao[.] \omega r \epsilon w_a$.[, fr. 3 ii 8, may be one.

The writing is a fair-sized book-hand of a common type, comparable with 220, and, I suppose, to be placed early in the second century. There are no lection signs. There is a cancellation indicated by superscribed dots in fr. 3 ii 4 and another, apparently misplaced, in fr. 3 ii 6.



Fr. 1 Col. i.a. [], two traces on the line, not suggesting *i* followed by a 4. [, apparently *i* but a damaged η not ruled out], perhaps the extreme right-hand ends of the top and bottom of the right-hand stroke of η []., a trace level with the top of the letters, followed by the top of a small circle. There are only two letters between ν and ϵ ; I am uncertain how the ink is to be distributed 5. [], the leftward-hooked top of an upright 6.], the looped upper part of a stroke as of α , μ], a dot level with the top of the letters γ , [], a sinuous upright; neither *i* nor ν suggested], the top of an upright 8. [], a dot opposite the middle of *i*; possibly to be combined as κ], [], a horizontal stroke level with the top of the letters

Fr. 1 Col. ii 2]..[, apparently a short arc of the upper right-hand part of a circle, followed by the leftward-hooked top of an upright $4 \kappa \alpha$ a anomalous, but no other letter is to be read 5.[, a trace (of the upper left-hand arc of a circle?) level with the top of the letters 6 At an interval after ν the top of a tall upright 7], a dot level with the top of the letters

Fr. 1 Col. i $\tau \tau \delta \epsilon c v r \epsilon \gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon$: the beginning presumably of the 'licentious book' by or attributed to Philaenis, to which there are references in a number of ancient writers; see *Philainis* in P.-W. or *Hellenistic Epigrams*, Gow and Page, vol. ii p. 4.

2 ' $\Omega \kappa \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu c$: unrecorded both as the father of Philaenis and as a proper name or appellative.

 $Ca\mu (a:$ the ending is not verifiable and in a different context the ink might be taken to represent other letters, but 'Samian' cannot be questioned here. The lemma of Dioscorides' epigram, Anth. Pal. vii 450, agrees with this ascription of origin. Athenaeus, v 220 f, calls her $\Phi \lambda auvic \eta' Aeukadla$, it is to be supposed mistakenly, though it is hard to see how the mistake arose.

3 seqq. Chrysippus, as appears from Athenaeus (335 b-e), repeatedly coupled the writings of Archestratus ($Tacrpovo\mu a$) and Philaenis as guides for the voluptuary. But I am bound to admit that the supplement $\mu \epsilon | \theta'$ $\hat{\eta}[\delta \sigma v] \hat{\eta}_c$, which I should have conjectured on this ground, appears to be distinctly too short. I suppose that not much weight need be attached to the consideration that 'pleasurably' is not a good antithesis to $\pi \sigma_e \delta \rho_V \omega c'$ 'off-hand'. No antithesis may have been involved.

 $\delta\iota\epsilon|\dot{\xi}d|\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$ seems to me a reasonably likely shot, though I cannot verify $\delta\iota$, and though $\delta\iota\dot{d}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\tau\dot{\partial}\nu$ $\beta\iota\sigma\nu$ is the regular usage and many times more frequent than $\delta\iota\epsilon\dot{\xi}d\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$.

Col. ii 1 seq. τόν πειρώντα: πειρών... τό προςβάλλειν γυναικὶ περὶ ἀφροδίτης schol. Aristoph. Eq. 517. Cf. Phot. in v. περί πειραςμών

τάδε cυνέγραψε Φιλαι-		δεῖ τοίνυν τὸν πειρῶ[ν-
νὶς ἐΩκυμένους ζαμία		τα ἀκαλλώπιςτον[
τοῖς βουλομένοις με-		καὶ ἀκτένιςτον, ὅπ[ως
θ.[].ς τὸν βίονε-		ἂν τῆ γυναικ<ὶ> μὴ [δοκῆ
ξα[κ]αὶ μὴ παρέρ-	5	ἔπεργος είναι, κτλ.
γω[ς κτλ.		

2 seqq. The seducer should neglect his appearance so that his intentions are concealed from their object.

The sense of $\epsilon \delta \nu a t$ is required at the end of l, 2, but I cannot accommodate the ink I see to any part of that word.

I can find no parallel to the use of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma o c$ apparently as 'engaged in a performance', 'on the job'.



Fr. 2 These two scraps were originally one. I do not much doubt that they contain the $-\pi\omega$ and $-\omega\eta$ of the ends of fr. 1 ii 3 seq. But I can neither stick them together again nor attach them to their places in fr. 1

Fr. 2 (b) Under η part of a horizontal stroke



Fr. 3 The relation of this fragment to fr. 1 is uncertain

Col. i 6], the foot of a stroke strongly hooked to right with the top of the letters

7]., a small loop, open to left, level

Col. ii 1]..., a cross-stroke, about level with the top of the letters, with a dot below on the line, followed by the foot of a stroke hooked to right and a dot to its right on the line; perhaps three letters 4], presumably elements of the right-hand curve of ω , but not verifiable Above the line between ϵ and o a dot unaccounted for $[..., perhaps the right-hand half of <math>\omega$ and the top and base of c cancelled by superscribed dots 7 . [, a dot off the line 8 Above the line between ϵ and ι a slightly convex upright [, the lower part of an upright with a stroke descending to right from its top

Fr. 3 Col. ii I cannot account for a number of the details found in these lines, but on the basis of 11. 5 seq. I suppose their general purpose to be similar to that of Plato Rep. 474 d, Lucret. iv 1160 seqq., Ovid. A.A. ii 657 seqq., the application of flattering terms to unattractive characteristics.

2 seq. $-\mu\epsilon\nu$: I suppose the ending of a first person plural, which is not the form I should have expected, since instructions on procedure (cf. fr. I ii I seq.) would naturally appear in the imperative or infinitive.

3 seqq. "The . . . woman is (called) "a goddess".' I can make no shot at the missing qualification. Nothing should be missing between $ic \delta \theta \in ov$ and o v cav.

5 seq. 'The ugly woman is "charming".'

6 seqq. 'The oldish woman is . . .' It is to be presumed that av, which must be preceded by a vowel, is the end of a word, which, either alone or with the mysterious series of letters that follows, is parallel to icóθεον and επαφρόδιτον.

No Greek word is recorded which is compounded with ϕ_{ao} . For this reason I venture to call attention to the theoretical possibility of $\Phi_{ao}[v]$ we way, Favoninam, and hasten to append the objections that no such Latin form is attested, that nothing known to me about any meaning of Favonius would make a derivative of it relevant to a flattering way of referring to a middle-aged woman, and that it is hard to believe that a Greek author of the third (or an earlier) century would not find a suitable expression in his own language.

9 For the names of kisses v. Blaydes on Aristoph. Ach. 1201.

INDEXES TO NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS

Small raised figures refer to fragments, small roman figures to columns. References enclosed in square brackets are to words wholly supplied by conjecture or from other sources, references enclosed in round brackets to words represented by a symbol. An asterisk marks words not recorded either in the ninth edition of Liddell and Scott, Greek-English Lexicon, or in the Supplement to it, exclusive of proper names. The article is not indexed.

(a) VERSE (2878-85)

2885 8

dγάλλειν 2883 2 2.	åςπάςιος 2883 2 A.	'Eurelabor 2885 8 0
ayeiv 2885 1 39.	Асса́ракос 2885 4 6.	2000(n) 2884 2 10 10
(-) áyeiv 2884 2 6 2885 8 16.	άτάςθαλος 2885 1 10	2A6) cm (A6) cm) 2005 1 10
$dy\lambda a - 2878 + 4.$	ATOFKYC 2879 i 16.	(Vener) 2003 - 43.
défeur 2879 i 12.	avric 2883 1 12 sser.	erounder 2003 g.
Alakione 2885 1 43.	autroc 2883 1 11 2885 4 0	etve 2003 - 7.
ainvnc 2879 i 3.	avéen see arayen	cloud 2003 * 11.
Alolionc 2885 1 16.	"Advioror 2885 1 15	$\frac{2}{2}$ 2991 (a) 6 00
alcroc 2884 2 12.	1.400100 4000 1.3.	ex 2001 (a) 0 28
akayeîv 2885 1 19.	Ba) an 2884 2 15	14. ////////////////////////////////////
akic 2885 8 14.	Banein 2883 1 6	2000 2003 × 10.
$d\kappa \lambda = 2885.4 \text{ II}$	Bélar 2883 1 m	2) exxet/ 2019 1 g.
άλίβατος 2879 i 6	Bears 2880 4	$\epsilon \wedge \epsilon \gamma \chi \eta c$ see next.
άλλά 2883 12, 12 2885 1 17 20 2	Buffác 2879 i ro	¹ ελεγχοτερος 2885 1 20.
4 12?, 11 6.	pool 2013 1 10.	ελευσερίη 2003 - 12 : 2) θ-Ω. 2005 1
άλλήλων 2885 11 8.	wal 2885 4 to	EAUELV 2003 * 22.
άλλος 2879 ii το?	1 2003 13.	EAKOC 2003 * 0.
alv 2885 + 15?	2885 1 40 11 7	μπαζευαί 2003 · 13.
auu 2880 2.	2003 40, 47,	εν 2003 · 0, [12].
aunec 2878 4 3? (or jun), 2883	vinuesono 2883 1 10 marg	(i) 3.
13.	vou 2881 (b) 8	26 min 2001 (a)
aμοιβαδόν 2885 1 42.	valion 2885 II 4	26/m 2005 8 10
auvuw 2885 1 38.	viivo 2884 2 0	26070 2003 - 13.
audí 2885 8 17?	701 1 100 1 g.	20000 2000 - 10,
audore [p- 2885 10 5.	Saluce 2885 1 41	2maga/aum 2002 1 a.
äv see Kev.	δαμάζειν 2883 1 ε	2-1/ 2002 1
avá 2883 2 3.	Δαρδάμιος (μανία) 2885 L 28	enet 2003 - 11,
άνδάνειν see next.	δέ 2880 2 2883 1 4 0 12 14 2 1	2mc)Acin 2882 2
avdávny 2878 11 8?	4 2885 I 15 10	2003 - 4.
avho 2880 2, see also next.	δέος 2881 (b) 7	emelucia 2884 2 0
ävno 2878 2 10?	δή 2885 1 20	2mi 2884.2 m 2895.4 ro
άντιâν 2883 2 3.	δήμος 2885 4 0.	ent 2004 - / 2003 + 13,
ανύτειν 2881 (b) 4.	διά 2884 2 14.	*Equation (receive) 2885 I 40
aπo 2879 i 7, 12,	διείδειν 2885 4 8.	Liperaever (-eever) 2003 - 45.
άποπαύειν 2883 2 I.	(-) διώκειν 2885 1 34.	Equic ("Equic) 2884 2 10
$\delta \rho$ [2881 (a) g.	Διώρης 2885 1 16.	*Eour 2885 1 10
άρθμός 2885 1 44.	Δόλοπες 2885 1 43.	ёт, 2884 2 т
арті 2885 4 8 marg.	δυςμενής 2883 1 13.	EUREQUE 2881 (b) 7
åcκηθήc 2883 ¹ 7.	* Suchoveiv 2879 i 3.	Evivader 2885 1 11

INDEXES TO NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS 56

$Z\epsilon\dot{v}c$ 2883 ¹ 4.	кvav 2885 * 29.
	κυάνεος 2879 i 1.
η 2885 ¹ 24?, 36, 38, 40.	κῦμα 2885 4 15.
THELP 2885 II 2?	
ηλίβατος see άλί	Λάκων 2883 ² 4.
Sugo 2885 1 42.	λαός 2883 ¹ 15, ² 1.
Sucie 2883 17 see also duner	(-)λέγειν 2882 ΙΙ.
1 (arrag 2883 L r	(-) AciBein 2879 i 10.
$\eta \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho c 2003 - 5$	AET 2884 2 7?
ηωιος 2003 - 4.	λευκόλιθος 2885 8 ID.
) from 2884 2 F
θαλυκρός 2884 ² 10.	Ac 200 - 5.
θαμίζειν 2879 ii 10.	*21ητωινη 2004 ~ 9.
θέλειν 2880 1, see also έθέλειν.	Albac 2879 1 12.
θεός 2883 1 3.	Accoc 2885 1 41.
θεομός 2885 11 5.	λοετρόν 2885 11 5.
Angevic 2884 2 7.	λουτρόν see λοετρόν.
Anude 2883 1 14.	λυγρός 2883 16, 2884 2 13.
00000 1000 140	λύειν 2885 11 4.
1/	-
$a\chi \epsilon \nu 2003 - 15$	µа́кар 2880 5.
$to \epsilon v 2881$ (a) 3 2003 · 4 2003	uáyn 2883 2 1.
11 12, 14.	μέναρον 2883 1 12.
$(-)\iota\delta\epsilon\iota\nu$ 2882 3.	usvac 2880 8.
<i>iερό</i> ς 2885 ¹¹ 3.	ue) au 2883 1 2
] 1 Leiv 2882 2.	mener 2005 3.
inelpeir 2885 1 42.	μεμονεναι (μεμαωτες) 2003 · 9.
lorne 2883 1 3.	μεν 2003 * 0.
'Impose 2885 1 30.	μενειν 2883 1 12.
Scravas 2883 1 2.	μέντοι 2885 4 11.
	μετά 2884 ² 15.
40/2870 j 4 2881 (a) t 2883 I O	μετείςεςθαι 2883 1 12.
$\kappa_{at} = 2005 142001 (a) 12003 9,$	μετοιχ- see πεδοιχ[.
$11, 152005 \cdot 10, 32, \cdot 131, \cdot 91$	$\mu\eta$ 2885 II 17.
Kaleip 2885 1 9 025.	μηδέ 2883 1 7.
καίπερ 2883 ² 3.	Mhon 2885 1 15.
καλός 2883 ¹ 11.	untra 2881 (b) 0.
каµµоvíŋ 2884 ² 14.	11111 2883 1 7.
ка́µvєіv 2883 2 3 2885 1 12.	upper see upper
ка́ртирос 2881 (a) 5.	10000 See 100000
касуучутос 2878 ° 2? 2885 1 30.	19. 1995 A
касис 2885 1 15.	μουνος 2003 + 17.
Karacuúvew 2885 1 20.	μούτα 2885 ¹¹ 10.
KEIVOC 2885 1 21. See also Knivoc.	1 0005 1 0
7883 I 4	veapóc 2885 1 16.
	véoc 2885 8 16.
2070 2.	νηcic 2885 1 37.
κηνος 2010 - 9.	výcoc 2885 1 11.
KIXaVEIV 2805 - 32.	νίκη 2883 ² 2.
κλεμμ- 2885 ¹ 34.	Nicoc 2885 1 13?
κλέος 2878 ² 7.	νοτείν 2879 i 7.
кvéфас 2883 2 3.	viv 2885 II Q.
когд[2885 1 39.	wif 2879 i 2 2883 2 2. 4.
корос see коброс.	
коте 2885 1 38.	EUV- 2885 I 44.
κούρος 2884 ² 15.	2
Kondln [2883 1] 2885 1 18.	őδε 2881 (a) 4 2882 15 2883 1 3.
Koov(wy 2883 1 8.	11.

.

oδυρ[2878 22 3. öθμα 2885 II 16. οίδα see ίδειν. Oltaloc 2885 1 40? δκόςος 2885 1 36. δλίγος 2884 2 12. ολλύναι 2885 1 15. Suastni 2883 1 15. õμβρος 2879 i 7. ομίχλη 2883 2 4. όμμα 2885 11 6, see also öθμα. övelpov 2881 (a) 6. 3Eúc 2879 i 4. δπόςος see δκόςος. őρθιος 2881 (a) 2. öc 2885 1 19, 41. őccoc 2885 1 10. õti 2885 1 18?, 11 5, 6, 12, 14. où 2881 (b) 10 2884 2 11 2885 125?, 26? oubé 2883 1 11 2885 1 13?, 18?, 28? oudele 2885 4 11. ouploctátne 2881 (b) 6. ούτε 2880 6. obroc 2885 1 17? ούτω(c) 2880 6. odpa 2883 1 6. öψιc 2881 (a) 3. παιάων 2878 5 2. παιπαλόεις 2879 i 8. παîc 2885 1 11, 14,8 15? πάλαι 2882 6? πανήγυρις 2881 (b) II. παρθενική 2885 1 13. παρθένος 2884 2 11. $\pi \acute{a} \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon(v)$ see $\tau o \pi \acute{a} \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon(v)$. πac [2883 1 14] 2885 142. πατρίς 2885 1 12. πεδοιχ[2880 10? πείθεςθαι 2883 2 1. πέλαγος 2879 1 α. πέλειν 2885 11 15? πίφιγξ 2885 1 28. πνεῦμα 2885 1 14. ποθείν 2883 2 2. ποίκιλμα 2879 i 2. πόλις 2878 1 2 2879 1 18 2882 4 2883 1 10 2884 2 6 2885 4 6. πολυόμματος 2879 i 1. πορείν 2880 3 2885 I 10.

mor 2878 11 5.

поте 2879 ii 6, 9, see also коте.

(a) VERSE (2878-85)

πραπίδες 2884 2 15. Telver 2885 1 27? τείχος 2880 8? προςηνής 2885 8 9. τέκμαρ 2884 2 8. πρόςθιος 2881 (b) 8. τέκνον 2881 (b) 9. ποότερος 2883 I I. τελείν 2883 1 14. προτροπάδην 2883 1 5. τελευτάν 2883 1 8. πρώτος 2885 1 45. τιθέναι 2885 1 20. πτολίεθρον 2885 11 3? ríc 2885 1 17. TIC 2883 1 6 2885 1 20. τοιούτος 2885 1 18? $\tau_0 \pi a_{\rho 0 i} \theta_{\epsilon}(\nu)$ 2883 1 2. 2882 16? 2885 11 7. скоλιοπλανής 2879 i o? тойнека 2885 ⁸ 15. CKUBIKOC 2885 1 27. TUD [2885 1 35. cóc 2884 2 14 2885 11 6. Cπάρτη 2883 16. *иниес see аниес*. ύπαλύξαι 2883 1 7. cπινθάρυξ 2879 i 5. cπείβειν 2885 1 41. ύπέρ 2885 1 14. cý 2880 6 2884 2 10? 2885 1 19. ύπέρβιος 2879 i 3. Υπεριονίδας 2879 i 4. εύμφυτος 2881 (a) 4. сфе́терос 2885 1 14. **υποδύεςθαι** 2884 2 12. Yprakîvoc 2885 4 5. τανύ [- 2879 ii 3. Τάφιος 2885 1 11. *φ*€ 2882 5.

Φιλαινίς 2885 11 11? diλεîv 2881 (a) 5? φίλιος 2883 1 10. , φοβείν 2885 1 7. (-) φορείν 2884 2 4. dolum 2881 (a) 2. φρονείν 2883 1 14. φυλακτήρ 2883 1 9. φύλαξ 2883 1 12? χαι [2878 11 9. τότε 2879 ii 6, 9 2881 (a) 1 χαίρην 2878 5 I. Χάλυβες 2885 8 4. χάρις 2885 1 40. χθών 2882 12. x rialver 2885 1 19. xvoûc 2885 4 7. xop 2878 5 3. χόρος 2878 15 3? χρυce[2885 1 37. υπό 2881 (a) 2 2883 1 5 2885 1 (-) xpúceoc 2885 1 33. \$ 2885 II 3? ŵка 2883 ^I 12. ώκύς 2885 I 31. ώc 2883 2 1. φεύγειν 2883 1 4 2885 1 22, 32. ώc 2883 1 2, 8. (~) φεύγειν 2885 1 26.

(b) COMMENTARIES (2886-8)

åντίγραφον 2888 ii 15.

åνώγειν [2888 iii 1].

åπαλλαγή 2888 iii 36.

ii 16, iii 9?, 15.

αποβλέπειν 2888 ii 11.

άποτρέπειν 2888 i 23.

арктико́с 2888 i 32?

άροτρον (2887 1 21).

åctpor 2888 ii 9, 38.

aπ[2888 ii 8.

[3], 11, 39

29, 37.

(-) áyew 2888 i 14. αγκών 2886 (b) i 13. ayvoeiv 2888 iii 21? а́урюс 2888 iii 28. Adoacroc 2888 ii 28. Αίτωλός 2888 ii 31, 34, 36. aλλa 2887 1 16 2888 ii 35. άλλος 2888 ii 9. а́µµорос 2888 іі 7. Αμφιάραος 2888 ii 29. av 2888 ii 32, iii 14? dvá 2886 (b) i 12? 2887 1 19. åναπλι- 2886 (b) i 12. åvackev- 2888 iii 20. åναςκευή 2888 i 13. åνατολή 2888 iii 16. а́veµoc 2886 (b) i 9, 11. άνθρωπος 2888 ii 34, iii 27.

προτε[2878 2 3.

πυρά 2883 1 9.

ρυςθαι 2883 I 10.

cıyā[2879 ii 1.

32?, 40?

cύν 2883 1 4.

τάγα 2883 1 4.

τε 2879 i 4 2881 (a) 6.

àφι 2887 1 11. άφικνειςθαι 2888 iii 31. aoion 2887 1 25, see also wion. Bloc 2888 i 23. βούλεςθαι 2887 1 14 2888 i 19, and 2887 18, 23?, 25 2888 i 22, 91. βούς 2887 1 17, 18. yáp 2887 1 1 2888 ii 9, 14, iii 1, аристерос 2886 (b) і 13 2888 ііі 39 γελοΐος 2888 ii 13. yun 2887 1 22, 27. арктос 2888 і 27, іі 37, ііі 11, 40. δέ 2886 (b) i 6, 10 2887 1 2, 10, 18 2888 i 18, ii 6, 13, 14, iii avroc 2886 (b) i 8 2887 1 9, 23 18, 21. δή [2888 iii 1]. 2888 i 12, 19, 21, 24, ii 33, iii

Siaceieir 2886 (b) i 9, 11.

58 INDEXES TO NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS

διέκπλους 2888 iii 33. κα[2888 ii 17, iii 16, δίος 2888 iii 2. каθάπερ 2888 іі 16. δύειν 2888 i 20, ii 30. каї 2886 (b) і 7, 8 bis 2887 1 10. Suiking 2887 1 18. δύεις 2888 iii 15. είδάλιμος (or iδ-) 2886 (b) ii 15 bis? Eldobéa 2888 i 15. eldoc 2886 (b) i 14. elvar 2886 (b) i 7, (2887 1 6) 2888 ii 34. eineiv 2888 iii 14? eic 2888 iii 34, 36, see also èc. ек 2887 16 2888 ii 14. έλθειν 2888 iii 35. "Ελλην 2886 (b) i 4. eupalveur 2887 1 7. ev 2888 i 15?, ii 38, iii 5. ent 2886 (a) 5 2888 iii [3], 16. *èπι*[2888 i 14. επιγινώς κειν 2888 iii 34. έρεîν 2887 1 29 2888 ii 28. έριφος 2887 × 3. èc 2886 (a) 2?, 3? έςπέρα 2887 1 26. етос 2887 1 7? εΰ 2886 (b) i 5? eva- 2886 (b) i 5. evnonc 2888 ii 13. εύπορείν 2888 iii 30. έχειν 2888 iii 4, 11, 40. H 2888 iii 5. ήλικία 2887 1 5. ήμεις 2888 iii 13. ήμερος 2888 iii 26. ήμέτερος 2888 iii 24. 'Ηράκλειος 2888 iii 32. ήώς 2887 1 26. θάλαςςα 2888 iii 14. θεά [2888 iii 2]. OnBai 2888 ii 25. θηριώδης 2888 i 22, iii 28. θυcía 2887 1 12. *їасти* 2886 (b) і 14. ιδάλιμος see είδάλιμος.

iuác 2886 (b) i 17? iva 2888 iii 25. (-) ιςτάναι 2887 1 21. -ictávai 2887 1 28.

16, 18, 21, 25, 29 2888 i 20, ii 11, 31, 37, iii 18, 26, 30 bis, 34. καλείν 2888 iii 12. Καλυψώ 2888 iii 1, 22. Kanaveve 2888 ii 29. ката 2887 · 11 2888 ій 13, 23, 32. καταριθμείν 2888 ii 35. катоікєї» 2888 iii 27. (-) κείςθαι 2888 iii 9. ĸlvncic 2888 ii 12. Koárne 2888 iii 6, 19. Koncca 2887 1 23? κυκλ- 2888 iii 17. кŵµос 2887 1 21? λα 2888 iii 40. λαιός 2886 (b) i 15. λέγειν 2888 ii 33. λεπταλέος 2886 (b) i 7. λιπαρός 2886 (b) i 5, 6, λίτεςθαι 2887 1 1. λοξός 2888 iii 28. $\lambda \omega \pi \eta$ 2886 (b) i 8, 9. Mενέλαος 2888 i 17. µета́ 2887 1 28. μεταγράφειν 2888 ii 17. μέχρι 2887 1 26. μή 2888 i 29, ii 39. μηδέποτε see ούδέποτε. μιν [2888 iii 1]. μόνον 2887 1 15? μόνος 2888 ii 9, 31, 33, 36, 39. výcoc 2888 iii 8. νίζεεθαι 2886 (b) i 8. (-)voeîv 2888 iii 21. Éévoc 2886 (a) 5. δ 2888 iii I (την demonstrative). 'Oducceúc 2888 iii q. oi 2886 (b) i 7, 8. oîkoc 2886 (a) 3 2888 iii 36. οἰκουμένη 2888 iii 24. olvoy 2887 1 20. "Ounpoc 2887 1 19. ορχη[2887 1 24. δρχητις 2887 1 28. őc 2887 1 9.

о́ті 2888 [i 19], iii 21. od 2887 115? 2888 ii 32, iii 28. oubé 2888 jij 28. ουδέποτε (or μη-) 2888 iii 11. ouv 2888 i 11, iii 37. obroc 2887 16 2888 i 12?, ii 11, 12, 13, iii 9. ούτως 2888 ii 37, iii 34, 39. maîc 2886 (a) 7? mapá 2887 1 19 2888 ili 29. παραπέμπειν 2888 iii 31. παραρτάν 2886 (b) i 17. παρηορία 2886 (b) i 13, 15. Παρθενοπαίος 2888 ii 30. παριςτάναι 2887 1 17. πac 2886 (b) i 3 2888 ii 15. πατήο 2888 i 16. περί 2886 (b) i a. περι[β- 2886 (b) i 9. [περι]πίπτειν 2888 iii 23. περιποιείν 2888 i 20. πηκτός (2887 1 20). πλέγμα 2886 (b) i 14. πλείν 2888 iii 29. (-)πλείν 2888 iii 10. $\pi \lambda_{i-} 2886$ (b) i 12? πλόκος 2886 (b) i 14, 16. πλοῦς 2888 iii 30. ποιείν 2888 iii 35, 38. ποιητής 2887 1 5 2888 i 11. Πολυνείκης 2888 ii 29. ποντοπορεύειν 2888 iii 2. πορεύειν 2886 (b) i 10, 11. mondúneoc 2886 (b) i 12. πούς 2886 (b) i 6. πράπτειν 2888 i 22. πρεςβύτης 2886 (b) i 3. πρός 2888 ii 10, iii 12, 32. προςενεγκείν see προςφέρειν. προςποιείν 2886 (b) i 2. πρόςταγμα 2888 ii 16. προςφέρειν 2887 1 0, 12 2888 iii 25. πρώτος 2887 1 1, 2, 10. ρητέον 2888 i 18. ρόδον 2887 1 4. Cέλευκος 2888 iii 18. cenvóc 2888 i 21. cημαίνειν 2886 (b) 6, 10. cημειουν 2887 1 6.

cτεφανούν 2887 1 13?

(1) COMMENTADIES (000C 0)

(0) COMMENTARIES (2880-	b) 59
crýλη 2888 iii 33. crpaτev- 2888 ii 27. cú 2887 ¹ 17, 27. cuµβalvew 2888 ii 8. cuµβonkeve 2888 ii 37. cuµπeριφέρεω 2888 ii 12. cú 2887 ¹ 24. cú ζεω 2886 i) i 2? cú ζεω 2886 i) i 2? cú ζεω 2888 ii 16? ráχιον 2888 iii 22. τε 2887 ¹ 4. τοιοθτος 2888 i 22.	τόπος 2888 iii 20, 25, 26, 35. τρέπειν 2888 iii 30. τνοχάνειν 2888 ii 30. τνοχάνειν 2883 i 21. Τυδεύς 2888 ii 31, 35. ὑπό 2886 (b) i 5, 9, 11 2888 iii 27. ὑπόδειν 2886 (b) i 7. ὑπόλημα 2886 (b) i 5. ὑπολαμβάνειν 2888 ii 14, 32. φάναι 2887 ¹ 10, 15. φέρειν 2888 iii 15?	φήμη 2888 i 20. φοινι[2886 (b) i 4. χείρ 2888 iii 3. χορός 2887 ¹ 27. χρυς[2887 ¹ 16. χρύσειος 2886 (b) i 12, 16. ώιδή 2887 ¹ 29, see also ἀοιδή. ώκεανός 2888 ii 7. ώραιος 2887 ¹ 8. ⁶ ραίος 2887 ¹ 9?, 8. ⁷ Δρίων 2888 ii 6, 10. ώς 2887 ¹ 18.
(c) Literary Prose (2889–9	1)
Άγνων 2889 8. alcχρός 2891 ³ ii 5. ἀκαλλώπιστος 2891 ¹ ii 2. ἀκτένιστος 2891 ¹ ii 3. ἀλλά 2890 front 11. ἀν 2890 back 6, 8, 9 2891 ¹ ii 4. ἀνήρ 2890 front 4. ἀποκρίνεσθαι 2890 front 10. ἀποκρίνεσθαι 2890 front 7. ἀπορεῦν 2890 back 3, 4. αὐτός 2889 12.	 εν 2889 5 2890 front 5. επαφρόδιτος 2891 ³ ii 6. επατργός 2891 ¹ ii 5. επάτηθες 2889 15. ερέσθαι 2890 back 5. Εψριπίδης 2889 10 2890 back 5. Ζεύς 2889 6. ή 2890 back 9. ήμεζε 2889 13. 	ξυνείναι 2890 back 6. $\delta \delta \epsilon$ 2891 ¹ i 1. $\delta k \alpha \delta \delta \delta \mu \epsilon i 2890$ back 11. $\delta k \alpha \delta \epsilon \nu$ 2890 front 1. $\delta \pi \omega \epsilon$ 2890 back 7, 10 [2891 ¹ ii $3 = 2^{2} (a)$]. $\delta c r \iota c$ 2890 back 12, front 8, 12. $\delta \nu$ 2890 back 12, front 2, 8. $\delta \mu 2890 c$
βίος 2891 ¹ i 4. βούλεεθαι 2891 ¹ i 3. βουλεύειν 2890 back 7, 10. γυνή 2891 ¹ ii 4. δέ 2889 5 2891 ³ ii 5, 7. δείν 2891 ¹ ii 1. δεύνδς 2890 back 2. διάτης 2913 ³ i 0.	θαυμα(-) 2890 back 4. θαυμάζεω 2890 front 8. Θηραμένης 2889 8. ΐνα 2890 front 3. ἰςόθεος 2891 ³ ii 4. καθῆςθαι 2889 4. καί 2889 7, 9 2890 back 11, front 11 10 2891 Li 5 ii 9.	ουν 2009 12. οδτος 2890 back 11. (-)παιδεύειν 2890 front 9. Παναθήμαια 2889 3. παρά 2889 12. παρέρθειν 2889 11. παρέργως 2891 ¹ i 5. πατήρ 2889 9. πειράν 2891 ¹ ii 1. πετορτικός 2891 ¹ ii 2.
διεξάγειν 2891 1 i 5?		$\pi \epsilon \rho i 2891^{-1}$ ii a, ³ ii 9.

λένειν 2890 back 8.

μάλιστα 2890 back 7, 10, front 6. έγώ 2889 7 2890 back 2, 8. €l 2890 front 4. μέγας 2889 3. elvar 2889 2 2890 front 1, 4 μέν 2889 1 2891 3 11 9. μετά 2891 1 1 3? 2891 1 ii 5, 3 ii 5. ekeivoc 2890 back 3, front 6. μή 2891 1 i 5, ii 4. Μιλτιάδης 2889 14 2890 back 6. ^{*}Ελευθέριος 2889 6. 'Ελλάς 2890 front 3. "Ελλην 2890 front 5, 9. vôv 2890 back 12.

 $\delta o \kappa \epsilon i \nu [2891 \ ^{I} \text{ ii } 4 = ^{2} (b)].$

Са́риос 2891 1 і 2. скитото́µос 2890 back 0.

πλείν 2890 front 1.

ποιείν 2890 back 8.

ποιητής see ποητής.

πρέςβυς 2891 3 ii 7.

ποητής 2889 10.

πομπή 2889 2.

πλούς 2890 front 12.

60 INDEXES TO NEW CLASSICAL FRAGMENTS

coφόc 2890 front 9. cτοά 2889 5. cwyvlyvecθai 2890 front 11. cwypάφειν 2891 ^τ i 1. cwreîvai see ξυνεîvai.

τε 2890 front 3. τέκτων 2890 back 12. τηλικοῦτος 2890 front 13. τις 2890 front 4. τοίνυν 2891 ¹ ii 1. τοςοῦτος 2890 front 12. τυγχάνειν 2889 1.

φάναι **2890** back 2. φαο[.]ωνεινα **2891** ³ ii 8? Φιλαινίς **2891** ¹ i 1. φίλημα **2891** ³ ii 9. χρήναι **2890** back 7.

³Ωκυμένης 2891 ¹ i 2. ώς 2891 ³ ii 4, 6, 7. ώφελείν 2890 back 1.





ł

PLATE III





2890 Front



